

## **Deployment experiences and mental health problems as predictors of post-deployment unemployment length: A prospective, register-based study among Danish soldiers**

**Andreas F. Elrond AF<sup>1,2</sup>, Paul M. Conway<sup>2</sup>, Søren B. Andersen<sup>1</sup>, Karen-Inge Karstoft<sup>1,2</sup>, Mia S. Vedtofte<sup>1</sup>, Jacob Pedersen<sup>3</sup>.**

<sup>1</sup> Research and Knowledge Centre, The Danish Veteran Centre, Garnisonen 1, 4100 Ringsted, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 2A, 1353 Copenhagen K, Denmark

<sup>3</sup> National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Lersø Parkallé 105, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

### **Correspondence to:**

Andreas Friis Elrond  
Research and Knowledge Centre  
The Danish Veteran Centre  
Garnisonen 1, 4100 Ringsted, Denmark  
E-mail: andreas.elrond@psy.ku.dk

**Word count:** 2998

**Tables and figures:** 2 tables and 1 figure.

**Running head:** Deployment-related predictors of unemployment length



## Abstract

**Objectives:** Military deployment experiences and post-deployment posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression may affect formerly deployed soldiers' (FDS) probability of gaining employment after leaving the military. However, previous studies have provided mixed evidence on these relationships. This study tested questionnaire responses on deployment experiences in combination with screening levels of PTSD and depression approximately 6 months after homecoming as predictors of subsequent probability of gaining employment when unemployed from 6 months to 5 years post-deployment.

**Methods:** FDS responders (n = 3,935) and non-responders (n = 3,046) to a 6-month post-deployment screening questionnaire after returning from a first ever deployment to Kosovo, Iraq, or Afghanistan (2002 – 2012) were included in the study. Three Cox regression models including (1a) deployment experiences, (1b) PTSD and depressive symptoms, (2) deployment experiences, PTSD and depressive symptoms for FDS responders, and a fourth model on for all FDS (3) with indication on whether they responded to the questionnaire were used to assess time-to-event transitioning from unemployment to employment from 6 months to 5 years post-deployment. All models were controlled for age group and region of residence and were stratified for education and the underlying time period.

**Results:** Deployment experiences, PTSD and depressive symptoms were not significant predictors of transitioning from unemployment to employment in any of the tested models. A tendency among non-respondents to have a lower probability of transitioning from unemployment to employment was found (HR = 0.90, 95% CI = 0.81 – 1.00).

**Conclusion:** Deployment experiences, PTSD and depressive symptoms, as measured at a 6-month follow-up, did not predict differences in the probability of gaining employment when unemployed within 5 years post-deployment. However, the findings suggest that those with the least probability of transitioning from unemployment to employment can be found among the non-responders to the post-deployment screening questionnaire.

## Keywords

Military; Veterans; Post-deployment; Functioning; Employment: Unemployment; Cohort studies

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study accounted for previous methodological limitations in the field by using a registry-based outcome to assess the exact time in unemployment before gaining employment, while using

validated scales from a systematically deployed screening questionnaire to account for deployment experiences, and PTSD and depressive symptoms.

- The use of registries allowed for the relation between non-response to the screening questionnaire and the chance of gaining employment when unemployed to be tested separately.
- The setup, however, did not allow to control for the effects of mental health treatment based on the results of the screening questionnaire, or for incorporating information on the reason for soldiers to separate from the defence before the unemployment.

## INTRODUCTION

Formerly deployed soldiers' (FDS) ability to gain employment after leaving the armed forces remain an area of interest and concern. Identification of factors predictive of employment problems may provide the possibility of identifying and targeting FDS in need of aid to secure a foothold in the labour market. Studies have suggested posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [1–5] and depression [4,6–10], independently and in combination [9,11], to be related to higher levels of post-deployment unemployment and non-employment in soldier populations, and to have an effect on the ability of FDS to work and function to their full capabilities [2,12–15]. However, several other studies have found PTSD [6–8,11,13,14] and depression [8,11,13,14] to have no or a limited relationship to subsequent unemployment for FDS. Depletion in functioning [14] and lack of trust in one's ability to gain employment [2,10] rather than a complete inability to work have been suggested as the consequence of PTSD and depression. This may affect those who are unemployed and looking for employment. Research into the effects of deployment on unemployment, independent and beyond the effects of PTSD and depression, remains limited, with studies both supporting [13,16,17] and not supporting [8,18] a relationship. However, no study has previously examined the effects of deployment experiences and PTSD or depressive symptoms as predictors of transitioning from unemployment to employment in FDS.

Previous research presents a series of methodological issues that limits conclusions about FDS' ability to gain employment. Differences in results across employment studies may among other factors be attributed to considerable variation in duration and timing of post-deployment symptom assessments [19]. Moreover, the timing of the employment outcomes (ranging from days to years [16,20]), as well as disparity of the underlying periods [16,20] may affect the results. The widespread use of cross-sectional data or data from treatment- and help-seeking veteran populations further limits the possibility of drawing conclusions about causal relationships between deployment experiences, mental health problems and post-deployment employment [21,22]. Non-response to predominantly voluntary screening programs is high [23], and has been related to poorer mental health outcomes [24,25]. Finally, the use of a single-point follow-up, which only allows for dichotomous outcome categories (e.g., unemployed vs. employed), does not allow for the study of FDS' transitions in the labour market.

Against this background, the primary aim of this study was to investigate deployment experiences as well as PTSD and depressive symptoms at screening as predictors of gaining employment when unemployed, from 6 months to 5 years after the first-ever military deployment. To this end, we used a systematically distributed screening questionnaire and national register data on employment status. Given a non-

response rate of 43% of the identified population, a secondary aim was to investigate non-response to the questionnaire as a predictor of gaining employment when unemployed.

## **METHOD**

### **Data**

We used the deployment database of the Danish Veteran Centre [26] to identify period and country of deployment as well as previous deployments. From the Danish Veteran Centre, we obtained data from self-report questionnaires on Psychological Reactions following International Missions (PRIM). PRIM has been routinely distributed by personal mail since 1998 to Danish FDS approximately 6 months after homecoming to assess deployment experiences and post-deployment reactions in order to identify those in need of support by military psychologists. PRIM consists of 125 individual items covering deployment experiences, post-deployment reactions and post-deployment support, as well as five validated scales, here amongst PTSD- and depression symptoms as well as deployment experiences (see “Predictors” below for included items and scales). The questionnaire has an average response rate of 60% across all deployments [27]. Data on labour market transitions came from the Danish Register for Evaluation of Marginalization (DREAM), which contains weekly records of social benefit payments for all Danes since 1991 [28]. All data was hosted by Statistics Denmark and linked via a unique personal identification number, and the analyses were conducted on de-identified records on Statistics Denmark’s servers. Due to the low rates of missing data we excluded subjects if they had missing data on any of the selected measures.

### **Population and procedure**

We included FDS who had a single deployment to Kosovo, Iraq or Afghanistan from 2002–2012 (see Figure 1 for attrition). Of 10,974 FDS deployed to one of these countries and during the period of interest, 2550 were excluded due to having previous deployments, and 633 for being deployed again before the follow-up period 6 months after the first homecoming. This was done to exclude potential effects of previous or subsequent deployment experiences. In addition, 3348 (43.0%) did not have a corresponding PRIM record, while the correct record could not be identified in 18 cases. In the study period the Danish defence used temporary contracts or permanent contracts that terminate at 36 (or 60) years of age. The contract terminating at age 36 includes the possibility for subsequently taking civilian education for a period while still getting wage by the defence. To avoid possible systematic bias at 36 years of age, we further excluded 275 FDS that were age 36 or older at the start of follow-up or that were otherwise censored (e.g., deceased). Finally, 215 FDS were excluded for having missing data on the PRIM questionnaire used in the study. This resulted in a final sample of 3935 for the primary analysis. The secondary analysis included 3046 non-responders of PRIM who otherwise met the follow-up criteria just specified.

(Figure 1 here)

## Patient and Public involvement

No patients involved. As the study was conducted on de-identified data, the FDS included in this study were not directly involved in shaping the research question, methods or dissemination. The results will be disseminated through the Danish Veteran Centre, which is a public institution formed to support and inform work with the population.

## Measures

### Unemployment periods and employment

Unemployment periods were identified based on information on weekly payments of unemployment benefits from the DREAM register. We identified periods of employment as periods without any social payments. We included all periods of unemployment into the study and counted all unemployment periods immediately succeeded by an employment period as a transition/event. Periods of other social payments like sickness absence, education benefits were censored.

### Predictors

From the PRIM questionnaire, we included the PRIM-PTSD scale in which scores range from 12–48 and the cut-off score of 24.5 is used to screen for possible PTSD (No-PTSD/Possible PTSD). The PRIM-PTSD and the cut-off score have previously been validated [27]. We also included the 8-item PRIM Depression scale (PRIM-DEP8), which has been validated and shown to be reliable across cohorts [29]. Scores range from 0–8 and a previously established cut-off score of 6 is used to screen for depression [30]. From PRIM we also included the Rasch validated Exposure to Danger and Combat Scale (EDCS) and the Witnessing Consequences of War Scale (WCWS) to account for exposure to adverse deployment experiences. EDCS scores range from 6–24 and WCWS scores range from 4–16 [31], with higher scores indicating a more dangerous environment. Finally, for the secondary analysis, we included a binary variable indicating response or non-response to the PRIM questionnaire.

From the registers, we included information on gender and time-dependent information on age group (18–24, 25–35), highest achieved education (short/preparatory and longer/vocational). Finally, we included information on habitation in the five geographical regions of Denmark to account for any regional differences in the chance of gaining employment (e.g. between the Capital region and other less dense regions).

## Statistical analyses

Initial analyses tested the differences in the probability of having a period of unemployment, in relation to PTSD and depression symptoms, using chi-squared test ( $\chi^2$ ). The prospective association of deployment experiences and mental health problems assessed in PRIM, and response to PRIM with subsequent unemployment-employment transitions was examined with a four-step Cox regression model [32,33].

We modelled the probability of transitioning from unemployment to employment with the timeline being the days from 6 months to 5 years post-deployment, and the possibility of late entry (i.e. entering the model later than at 6 months exactly). FDS were allowed to have multiple and recurrent unemployment periods and individual variance was accounted for by including a frailty model [32,34]. Soldiers were right-censored if they redeployed, received a disability pension, died or had turned 36 years of age and thus could have their contract terminated. FDS were treated as being temporarily censored from the model, with the possibility of re-entering, when they were not receiving unemployment benefits or if they had emigrated. We adjusted for changes in employment rates, by dividing the follow-up period into the four time-periods: 2002–2005, 2006–2009, 2010–2013, and 2014–2017, in which the employment rates were fairly stable. We stratified the Cox-regression by each period, which allowed for separate baseline hazards in each time-period.

Model 1a included the war exposure scales EDCS and WCWS. Model 1b included the variables assessing possible PTSD and depression at the 6-month follow-up. As the questionnaire measures was given only once to each individual, it was not possible to model a possible mediating relationship between experiences, mental health problems and the probability of employment; however, model 2 included all variables of model 1a and 1b to test if experiences had a unique effect on unemployment length after mental health was factored in. Finally, a second analysis was conducted including the large proportion of individuals that did not have a PRIM response, and the follow-up response model 3 included an indicator of response or non-response to test the effect of not responding to the PRIM. All models were adjusted for gender, age group and region of habitation, and were further stratified by periods of similar employment rates and education level. The proportional hazard assumption of the predictors in the four models was evaluated and found acceptable by the use of scaled Schoenfeld residuals through the survival package in R [36]. Education level was included as a second stratifying variable as it did not meet the proportional hazard assumption.

A supplementary analysis introduced PTSD and depressive symptoms, which are known to correlate [37], separately into the models. Further, we conducted a supplementary analysis of the interaction of PTSD and depressive symptoms that has previously been found elsewhere [11]. Finally, as lower symptomatology has



been previously shown to have weaker association with employment problems [2,15], we also tested the models using stricter established cut-offs corresponding to a probable PTSD and depression diagnosis (29.5 and seven respectively) [27,29,30] as a sensitivity analysis.

All analyses were performed using base R (version 3.5.1) and the R Survival package (version 2.43.1) [36].

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the FDS population at the beginning of the follow-up period. Most of the first-ever deployments were to Kosovo and fewest were to Iraq, with Afghanistan being in between. The majority of FDS were male, and at the beginning of follow-up, the majority were between 18 and 24 years old and had a preparatory or low level of education. Further, 9.9% met the screening criteria for PTSD, and 8.7% the screening criteria for depression.

**Table 1.** FDS population characteristics at the start of follow-up time (6 months after homecoming)

Characteristics	Responders ( <i>n</i> = 3935)		Non-responders ( <i>n</i> = 3046)		$\chi^2$ test, p value
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	
<b>Military deployment</b>					<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Afghanistan	1193	30.3	1323	43.4	
Iraq	922	23.4	516	17.0	
Kosovo	1820	46.3	1207	39.6	
<b>Gender</b>	<i>n</i>	%		%	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Female	251	6.4	114	3.7	
<b>Age group</b>	<i>n</i>	%		%	<b>0.013</b>
18–24	3082	78.3	2308	75.8	
25–35	853	21.7	738	24.2	
<b>Education*</b>	<i>n</i>	%		%	<b>0.667</b>
Low level	2902	74.0	2250	74.5	
High level	1020	26.0	771	25.5	
<b>Period</b>	<i>n</i>	%		%	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
2002–2005	1045	26.6	607	19.9	
2006–2009	1929	49.0	1387	45.5	
2010–2013	961	24.4	1052	34.5	
2014–2017	-	-	-	-	
<b>Region of residence</b>	<i>n</i>	%		%	<b>0.007</b>

Capital region	870	22.1	717	23.5
Region Sealand	528	13.4	441	14.5
Region northern Jutland	610	15.5	483	15.9
Region mid Jutland	1012	25.7	667	21.9
Region South	915	23.3	738	24.2
<b>Deployment experiences (scales)</b>	<b><i>n</i></b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>		
EDCS	3935	2.7 (1.9)		
WCWS	3935	3.9 (3.4)		
<b>PTSD screening</b>	<b><i>N</i></b>	<b>%</b>		
No PTSD	3544	90.1		
Screening level PTSD	391	9.9		
<b>Depression screening</b>	<b><i>n</i></b>	<b>%</b>		
No depression	3591	91.3		
Screening level depression	344	8.7		

---

EDCS = Exposure to Danger and Combat Scale; SD = standard deviation; WCWS = Witnessing Consequences of War Scale. \*No information on education at beginning of follow-up for 13 FDS.

Of the 3935 FDS included, 947 (24.1%) had at least one unemployment period, and of these, 824 (86.9%) FDS were reemployed within the follow-up period. The proportion having an unemployment period was significantly higher for the group that screened positive for PTSD (34.5%) than for the group that did not (22.9%) ( $\chi^2(1) = 25.22, P < .001$ ). Similar results were found for those who screened positive for depression (33.1%) compared with those who did not (23.2%) ( $\chi^2(1) = 16.32, P < .001$ ).

The results of the four Cox regression models can be seen in Table 2. Deployment experiences included in model 1a were not predictive of obtaining employment when unemployed. The mental health predictors in model 1b yielded similar results, as none of these variables predicted transition into employment. As a result of this, none of the variables were significant predictors when included in the adjusted model 2. The final model 3 testing non-response as a predictor, found that non-responders bordered on a significantly lower probability of gaining employment (HR = 0.90, 95% CI = 0.81 – 1.00). In this model higher age was related with a significantly lower chance of gaining employment (HR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.78 – 0.98).

The supplementary analyses, introducing PTSD and depressive symptoms separately into the model, testing their interaction and using stricter established cut-offs, did not change the non-significant finding (results not shown).

**Table 2.** Cox regression models of Hazard Ratios for transitioning from unemployment to employment

Transitioning from Unemployment to Employment <sup>a</sup>	Model 1a Deployment experiences		Model 1b Mental health		Model 2 Combined		Model 3 Follow-up Response	
	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
<b>Deployment experiences (scales)</b>								
EDCS	1.00	(0.97 – 1.03)			1.00	(0.97 – 1.03)		
WCWS	1.01	(0.96 – 1.06)			1.01	(0.96 – 1.06)		
<b>PTSD screening</b>								
No PTSD			1.00		1.00			
Screening level PTSD			1.06	(0.84 – 1.33)	1.06	(0.84 – 1.34)		
<b>Depression screening</b>								
No depression			1.00		1.00			
Screening level depression			0.82	(0.64 – 1.06)	0.82	(0.64 – 1.06)		
<b>Gender</b>								
Male	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Female	1.00	(0.76 – 1.32)	1.02	(0.77 – 1.35)	1.02	(0.77 – 1.36)	1.05	(0.82 – 1.33)
<b>Age group</b>								
18–24	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
25–35	0.93	(0.79 – 1.09)	0.99	(0.79 – 1.09)	0.93	(0.79 – 1.09)	0.87	(0.78 – 0.98)
<b>Region of residence</b>								
Capital region	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Sealand	0.84	(0.67 – 1.05)	0.85	(0.68 – 1.06)	0.85	(0.68 – 1.06)	0.93	(0.79 – 1.10)
Northern Jutland	0.92	(0.75 – 1.14)	0.92	(0.75 – 1.14)	0.92	(0.75 – 1.14)	0.98	(0.83 – 1.15)
Mid Jutland	0.93	(0.76 – 1.12)	0.92	(0.76 – 1.11)	0.92	(0.76 – 1.11)	1.03	(0.89 – 1.20)
South	0.98	(0.81 – 1.19)	0.98	(0.81 – 1.19)	0.98	(0.81 – 1.19)	1.00	(0.86 – 1.15)
<b>PRIM response</b>								
Responder							1.00	
Non-responder							0.90	(0.81 – 1.00)

CI = confidence interval; EDCS = Exposure to Danger and Combat Scale; HR = hazard ratio; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; WCWS = Witnessing

Consequences of War Scale; PRIM = Psychological Reactions following International Missions (questionnaire).

<sup>a</sup>All models are stratified by highest achieved education and time period (era).

## DISCUSSION

This study assessed experiences during military deployment and screening levels of PTSD and depression as predictors of differences in the probability of transitioning from unemployment to employment among FDS under the age of 36 who had experienced one deployment only. The descriptive analyses suggested that FDS with screening levels of PTSD or depression had a higher probability of experiencing at least one unemployment period during follow-up. However, no significant associations were found between experiences during military deployment and screening levels of PTSD and depression, and the probability of becoming employed in the period considered in any of the models. The secondary analysis that tested response to the follow-up questionnaire at approximately 6 months found that non-response bordered on having a relationship with a lower chance of gaining employment. Higher age was in this analysis significantly related with a lowered risk of gaining employment. These results did take into account the periodic changes in the underlying chance of gaining employment throughout the timespan (2002–2017) e.g. during the financial crisis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use exact register-based outcome periods to evaluate the effect of deployment experiences and mental health problems on subsequent labour market transitioning outside of a treatment-seeking population.

### Strengths and limitations

The present study has several methodological strengths, which advance methodological limitations of previous research. In particular, this study was based on bias-free outcomes assessed in register data including the exact periods of unemployment before transitioning to employment as well as background characteristics. The objective nature of the outcome enabling us to keep track of individual employment data on a week-to-week basis, counteracts problems with recall bias or bias relating to differences in risk as result of differences in follow-up time. Second, the systematic distribution of follow-up questionnaires across multiple years and missions allowed for inferences to be based on a population with diverse deployments. Further, the main predictors were measured through validated scales on deployment experiences, PTSD and depression. However, several limitations must be addressed. First, in the context of the DREAM data used, employment is characterized by not receiving any public payments and thus being self-supporting. While this is an accepted method [33], it does not rule out misclassification of the few persons who become self-supporting without having actual income from employment. Second, the PRIM questionnaire is typically filled in within 6–8 months after homecoming. However, we did not have the exact dates the soldiers filled out the PRIM, and consequently all dates were set to 6 months, which is the approximate time the PRIM questionnaire was first distributed to the FDS. Third, the non-significant findings in our study may relate to the effects of treatment that is offered by military psychologists based on the results of the PRIM questionnaire, as well as the help from social workers of the Danish Defence

Veteran Centre, as this select treatment and social help may aid soldiers in gaining employment. This limits conclusions about the effects of mental health problems independent of treatment. Fourth, we did not know the reason for departure from the military. Previous studies have found higher levels of attrition from the military soldiers with mental health problems [38], and a relationship between different reasons for separation and odds of unemployment [8]. However, our study could not account for any effect of differences in the reason for separation. Fifth, while the use of self-reported mental health problems is the general norm within this area of research, the potential underreporting of disability when using self-reports as compared to more objective measures [39] may lower the contrast between those who responded to the PRIM. Finally, the setup including the lack of information on the type of work and the FDS's desire and satisfaction with the type of employment does not allow us to judge the permanency of the positions gained when transitioning from unemployment.

### **Comparison with previous studies**

The findings of this study regarding PTSD and depressive symptoms are in agreement with previous cross-sectional [6,7,11,13] and prospective studies [8,14] conducted outside of treatment-seeking populations, which did not find PTSD, depression or deployment experiences to predict employment outcomes. Specifically, our results show that there are no overall differences among FDS in the probability of gaining employment when unemployed, based on PTSD and depression status as well as deployment experiences. Still, other cross-sectional studies [2,5–7,9,10] and prospective studies [4] have found such mental health problems to relate to employment outcomes. Further, our findings on deployment experiences extends previous findings [13,16,18] on the relationship between combat and unemployment by showing that the level of exposure to possibly traumatic deployment events was not predictive of the probability of gaining employment. The differences between our null findings and the positive findings in other studies need to be addressed. While this study extends previous findings by studying differences in the dynamic transitions between employment and unemployment, it does not account for the opposite transitions (from employment to unemployment). Based on this setup we therefore cannot rule out that PTSD and depression status as well as deployment experiences are predictors of an increased risk of being unemployed at single time points (e.g. at 1 year post-deployment), as previous studies suggest. Still, the differences may also pertain to methodological differences. We find that a primary problem in the literature is the use of cross-sectional data: since unemployment may affect mental health negatively [9,21,22], cross-sectional studies may inflate the relationship. Second, the use of outcomes sampled at a single time point allows for differences to coincidentally relate to the timing of assessment more than to the general risk of unemployment. Third, the differences found between our post 9/11 sample and samples

from other periods may relate to the era of deployment, as several studies have documented major differences in how military service in different periods affect labour market attachment [1,16,40–42]. The non-existing relationship between mental health and unemployment has also been found in several treatment-seeking samples [3,5,6,9,10,12], where one would expect the contrasts between mental health status to be smaller. The difference between these results and our findings may be explained by the systematic non-response on our screening questionnaires. Thus, the use of registries allowed us to test the effect of non-response by including 3046 soldiers who did not have a corresponding PRIM follow-up. This finding suggests that non-responders may have a lower probability than responders to transition from unemployment to employment. Still these veterans may be among those seeking help and such an effect could add to a larger contrast in the help-seeking samples. Across response patterns, however, previous results on the present sample have suggested that FDS overall have a greater chance of gaining employment when unemployed than comparable non-FDS in the 5 years after homecoming [17].

### **Future directions**

Our results indicate that a systematic screening of deployment experiences, PTSD and depression symptoms 6 months after deployment, may be not useful to predict the ability to get employment among unemployed FDS. Further studies are, however, needed to determine if these factors predict transitioning from employment to unemployment. Future studies investigating predictors of labour market outcomes in the general population of (non-treatment seeking) FDS should also seek to account for treatment and help received by the veterans in the time until measurement of employment to account for treatment effects on employability. Also, while complicated, studies should seek to account for the effects of multiple deployments. Further, our findings of a possible lowered probability of gaining employment among non-responders may question the ability of voluntary screenings to account for all of those in need of employment-related aid after military deployments. These results are also of clinical importance and may call for an increased early focus on veterans who may be in need of help, but do not respond to distributed screenings. Finally, while our study did not find PTSD and depression to be significant predictors, the resulting coefficients do suggest a stronger effect of depression than PTSD on remaining unemployed, which is consistent with the literature [8], and which may raise awareness to possible differences in the effects of these mental health problems.

### **Conclusion**

This study investigated deployment experiences and screening levels of post-deployment posttraumatic stress disorder and depression as predictors of the probability of gaining employment when unemployed in Danish formerly deployed soldiers with a single deployment behind them. We did not find such factors to

be significant predictors of gaining employment in the time from 6 months to 5 years after homecoming. Nevertheless, increased awareness of and attention to veterans who do not respond to systematically distributed screenings may be needed, as it may be among them where we will find those most vulnerable, and with the lowest probability of gaining new employment if they become unemployed.



## **Acknowledgements**

This study was supported by the Research and Knowledge Centre, The Danish Veteran Centre. The Centre had no formal role in designing, analysing, interpreting, and writing of the manuscript or on the decision to submit the paper.

## **Competing interest**

The authors have no competing interest to declare.

## **Ethical considerations**

This study followed the procedures of the Danish Data Protection Agency regarding notification of research projects containing personal data. Subjects answering the PRIM was informed about the use of data according to the regulations at the time of response, while the access to conducting research on the anonymized register data was provided under the regulations of Statistics Denmark. All work on data was conducted on de-identified data via the servers of Statistics Denmark. No ethics committee approval was required, as the study was a secondary analysis of previously collected data combined with register data available for the research purpose.

## **Author's contribution**

- Andreas Friis Elrond: Contributed to the design of the study; Data setup; Analyses; Writing of the paper
- Paul Maurice Conway: Contributed to the design of the study; Writing of the paper
- Søren Bo Andersen: Contributed to the design of the study; Writing of the paper
- Karen-Inge Karstoft: Contributed to the design of the study; Writing of the paper
- Mia Sadowa Vedtofte: Contributed to the design of the study; Writing of the paper
- Jacob Pedersen: Contributed to the design of the study; Data setup; Analyses; Writing of the paper

## References

- 1 Iversen A. What happens to British veterans when they leave the armed forces? *The European Journal of Public Health* 2005;**15**:175–84. doi:10.1093/eurpub/cki128
- 2 Pietrzak RH, Goldstein MB, Malley JC, *et al.* Subsyndromal posttraumatic stress disorder is associated with health and psychosocial difficulties in veterans of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom. *Depress Anxiety* 2009;**26**:739–44. doi:10.1002/da.20574
- 3 Resnick SG, Rosenheck RA. Posttraumatic stress disorder and employment in veterans participating in Veterans Health Administration Compensated Work Therapy. *The Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development* 2008;**45**:427–36. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2007.06.0093
- 4 Savoca E, Rosenheck R. The civilian labor market experiences of Vietnam-era veterans: the influence of psychiatric disorders. *The Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics* 2000;**3**:199–207. doi:10.1002/mhp.102
- 5 Smith MW, Schnurr PP, Rosenheck RA. Employment Outcomes and PTSD Symptom Severity. *Mental Health Services Research* 2005;**7**:89–101. doi:10.1007/s11020-005-3780-2
- 6 Cohen SI, Suri P, Amick MM, *et al.* Clinical and demographic factors associated with employment status in US military veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan. *Work* 2013;**44**:213–219.
- 7 Hamilton AB, Williams L, Washington DL. Military and Mental Health Correlates of Unemployment in a National Sample of Women Veterans: *Medical Care* 2015;**53**:S32–8. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000000297
- 8 Horton JL, Jacobson IG, Wong CA, *et al.* The impact of prior deployment experience on civilian employment after military service. *Occup Environ Med* 2013;**70**:408–17. doi:10.1136/oemed-2012-101073
- 9 Zivin K, Campbell DG, Lanto AB, *et al.* Relationships between mood and employment over time among depressed VA primary care patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2012;**34**:468–77. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2012.05.008
- 10 Zivin K, Yosef M, Levine DS, *et al.* Employment status, employment functioning, and barriers to employment among VA primary care patients. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2016;**193**:194–202. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.12.054
- 11 Amick MM, Meterko M, Fortier CB, *et al.* The Deployment Trauma Phenotype and Employment Status in Veterans of the Wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 2018;**33**:E30. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000308
- 12 Adler DA, Possemato K, Mavandadi S, *et al.* Psychiatric status and work performance of veterans of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom. *Psychiatric services* 2011;**62**:39–46.
- 13 Burnett-Zeigler I, Valenstein M, Ilgen M, *et al.* Civilian employment among recently returning Afghanistan and Iraq National Guard veterans. *Military medicine* 2011;**176**:639–646.
- 14 Erbes CR, Kaler ME, Schult T, *et al.* Mental health diagnosis and occupational functioning in National Guard/Reserve veterans returning from Iraq. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development* 2011;**48**:1159–70. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2010.11.0212

- 15 Karstoft K-I, Armour C, Andersen SB, *et al.* Community integration after deployment to Afghanistan: A longitudinal investigation of Danish soldiers. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2015;**50**:653–60. doi:10.1007/s00127-014-0973-2
- 16 MacLean A. The Things They Carry: Combat, Disability, and Unemployment among U.S. Men. *American Sociological Review* 2010;**75**:563–85. doi:10.1177/0003122410374085
- 17 Elrond A, Stoltenberg C, Nissen L, *et al.* Labor market affiliation after deployment: Danish soldiers fare well, but face increased risk of long-term sickness absence when returning from Afghanistan. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 2019;**45**:289–97. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3773
- 18 Burdett H, Fear NT, MacManus D, *et al.* Unemployment and benefit claims by UK veterans in the new millennium: results from a record linkage study. *Occup Environ Med* 2019;:oemed-2019-105737. doi:10.1136/oemed-2019-105737
- 19 Andersen SB, Karstoft KI, Bertelsen M, *et al.* Latent trajectories of trauma symptoms and resilience: the 3-year longitudinal prospective USPER study of Danish veterans deployed in Afghanistan. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2014;**75**:1001–8. doi:10.4088/JCP.13m08914
- 20 Humensky JL, Jordan N, Stroupe KT, *et al.* How Are Iraq/Afghanistan-Era Veterans Faring in the Labor Market? *Armed Forces & Society* 2012;:0095327X12449433. doi:10.1177/0095327X12449433
- 21 Paul KI, Moser K. Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 2009;**74**:264–82. doi:10.1016/j.jvb.2009.01.001
- 22 Wanberg CR. The Individual Experience of Unemployment. *Annual Review of Psychology* 2012;**63**:369–96. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100500
- 23 Fear NT, Staden LV, Iversen A, *et al.* 50 ways to trace your veteran: increasing response rates can be cheap and effective. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* 2010;**1**:5516. doi:10.3402/ejpt.v1i0.5516
- 24 Littman AJ, Boyko EJ, Jacobson IG, *et al.* Assessing nonresponse bias at follow-up in a large prospective cohort of relatively young and mobile military service members. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2010;**10**:99. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-10-99
- 25 Lyk-Jensen SV, Heidemann J, Glad A, *et al.* *Returned Danish Soldiers: The soldiers psychological health profile before and after deployment [Danske hjemvendte soldater: soldaternes psykiske sundhedsprofil før og efter udsendelse]*. SFI - Det Nationale Forskningscenter for Velfærd 2012.
- 26 Nissen LR, Stoltenberg C, Nielsen ABS, *et al.* Danish Gulf War Veterans Revisited: No Evidence of Increased Sickness Absence or Reduced Labor Market Outcome After Deployment to the Persian Gulf. *Military Medicine* 2016;**181**:e1644–9. doi:10.7205/MILMED-D-15-00534
- 27 Karstoft K-I, Andersen SB, Nielsen ABS. Assessing PTSD in the military: Validation of a scale distributed to Danish soldiers after deployment since 1998. *Scand J Psychol* 2017;**58**:260–8. doi:10.1111/sjop.12360
- 28 Burr H, Pedersen J, Hansen JV. Work environment as predictor of long-term sickness absence: Linkage of self-reported DWECs data with the DREAM register. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2011;**39**:147–52. doi:10.1177/1403494811401480

- 29 Karstoft K-I, Nielsen ABS, Nielsen T. Assessment of depression in veterans across missions: a validity study using Rasch measurement models. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* 2017;**8**:1326798. doi:10.1080/20008198.2017.1326798
- 30 Nissen LR, Karstoft K-I, Vedtofte MS, *et al.* Low-level cognitive ability in young adulthood and other risk factors of depression in an observational cohort study among deployed Danish soldiers. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* Published Online First: 8 January 2019. doi:10.1007/s00127-018-1648-1
- 31 Karstoft K-I, Nielsen T, Nielsen ABS. Perceived danger during deployment: a Rasch validation of an instrument assessing perceived combat exposure and the witnessing of combat consequences in a war zone. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* 2018;**9**:1487224. doi:10.1080/20008198.2018.1487224
- 32 Christensen KB, Andersen PK, Smith-Hansen L, *et al.* Analyzing sickness absence with statistical models for survival data. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 2007;**33**:233–9. doi:10.5271/sjweh.1132
- 33 Pedersen J, Bjorner JB, Burr H, *et al.* Transitions between sickness absence, work, unemployment, and disability in Denmark 2004–2008. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 2012;**38**:516–26. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3293
- 34 Hansen SM, Hetland ML, Pedersen J, *et al.* Effect of Rheumatoid Arthritis on Longterm Sickness Absence in 1994–2011: A Danish Cohort Study. *The Journal of Rheumatology* 2016;**43**:707–15. doi:10.3899/jrheum.150801
- 35 Statistics Denmark. Net unemployed by sex and persons/pct. - StatBank Denmark - data and statistics (2001–2017). <https://www.statistikbanken.dk/statbank5a/SelectVarVal/saveselections.asp> (accessed 20 May 2018).
- 36 Therneau TM. *A Package for Survival Analysis in S*. 2015. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival> (accessed 12 Feb 2018).
- 37 Rytwinski NK, Scur MD, Feeny NC, *et al.* The Co-Occurrence of Major Depressive Disorder Among Individuals With Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 2013;**26**:299–309. doi:10.1002/jts.21814
- 38 Hoge CW, Auchterlonie JL, Milliken CS. Mental health problems, use of mental health services, and attrition from military service after returning from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. *JAMA* 2006;**295**:1023–32. doi:10.1001/jama.295.9.1023
- 39 Griffin J, Stein MA. Self-perception of disability and prospects for employment among U.S. veterans. *Work* 2015;**50**:49–58. doi:10.3233/WOR-141929
- 40 Angrist JD. Estimating the Labor Market Impact of Voluntary Military Service Using Social Security Data on Military Applicants. *Econometrica* 1998;**66**:249. doi:10.2307/2998558
- 41 MacLean A, Elder GH. Military Service in the Life Course. *Annual Review of Sociology* 2007;**33**:175–96. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.33.040406.131710
- 42 Teachman JD, Call VR. The effect of military service on educational, occupational, and income attainment. *Social Science Research* 1996;**25**:1–31.

## Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** Fall-out of formerly deployed soldiers until follow-up, responders and non-responders. FDS = Formerly Deployed Soldiers; PRIM = Psychological Reactions following International Missions (Questionnaire).