

# SIC Skagen Innovationscenter

Dr. Alexandrinesvej 75 - 9990 Skagen - Tlf 98 44 57 13 - Mail: sic@shore.dk web: www.shore.dk

Miljøminister  
Esben Lunde larsen  
Slotsholmsgade 12  
1216 København K.  
mim@mim.dk

Page | 1

Skagen d. 17 januar 2017

Our ref. Pj/cp

Your ref Morten Gudmann Christensen

## **Tilbud på 55 km miljøvenlig kystbeskyttelse Nymindegab – Fjand.**

Vi har hermed fornøjelsen at genfømsende vort tilbud af 10 september 2015. Det er nu videnskabeligt dokumenteret at SIC systemet kan stoppe erosionen på den jyske vestkyst modsætningsvis sandfodring.

Arabian Coast 2016.

Vi vil derfor eget gerne fremlægge resultaterne på et møde i Ministeriet snarest muligt. Jeg var i Hvide Sande et par dage i sidste uge og kunne konstatere at rørområderne er uskadte efter stormen Urd mens Krylen nu igen ligner en krigszone efter utallige fejlslagne sandfodringer.

Det er som bekendt ikke penge som mangler på kystbeskyttelsesområdet, som vi dokumentered i Transportudvalget i januar 2014

Intelligent Kystbeskyttelse

Jeg vil kontakte din sekretær for et møde hvor Dialog Gruppen deltager

Med venlig hilsen

Poul Jakobsen

Kopi Miljøudvalget

# SIC Skagen Innovationscenter

Dr. Alexandrinesvej 75 - 9990 Skagen - Tlf 98 44 57 13 - Mail: sic@shore.dk web: www.shore.dk

Miljøminister  
Eva Kjer Hansen  
Børsgade 4  
1216 København K.  
mim@mim.dk

Page | 2

Skagen d. 10 September 2015

Our ref. Pj/cp

Your ref Morten Gudmann Christensen


## Tilbud på 55 km miljøvenlig kystbeskyttelse Nymindegab – Fjand.

VI har hermed fornøjelsen i samarbejde med The Royal BAM Group i Holland, at fremsende et tilbud på miljøvenlig kystbeskyttelse af den sydlige del af Fællesstrækningen på vestkysten, som udgør 110 km.

The Royal BAM Group er vor licentager i Holland og beskæftiger 27.000 ansatte og har en omsætning på 50 milliarder kr.

Kystdirektoratet i Danmark har idag ca. 60 ansatte.

Kystdirektoratet har nu forsøgt med sandfodring på den jyske vestkyst siden 1983, men alle sandfodringer er skyllet i havet og havet har efterfølgende taget 65 mio. kubikmeter af forstrand og klitter efter en investering på 3 milliarder.



Strækningen på de 55 km er vist på ovenstående kort, og kan sikres for et beløb på kun 3,2 mil Euro pr år, svarende til 23,9 mio. Kr. De fortsatte forsøg med sandfodring koster årligt mere end 100 mio. kr årligt.

## **Opmåling og analyser**

I vort tilbud er der inkluderet et måleprogram, hvor stranden bliver målt op i tværprofilet med en afstand på 100 meter mellem målelinjerne langs stranden og 10 meter i trærprofilet.

Opmålingerne foretages kvartalsvis med et uvildigt landinspektørfirma og stranden på de 55 km måles således op 4 gange årligt.

Vi har således fuldkommen kontrol over udviklingen på stranden modsætningsvis KDI, som kun måler op på stranden 1 gang årligt med 1000 meter mellem målelinjerne.

Alle trykudligningsmoduler bliver dykkede i stranden, så anlægget er usynligt og vedligeholdes løbende baseret på ugentlige inspektioner på stranden.

## **Etableringen**

Anlægget designes af SIC, som leverer trykudligningsmodulerne. Alle moduler bliver målsat med GPS ved etableringen, selvom modulerne begravnes i sand, som vi har set ved Skodbjerg og Egmond an Zee i Holland. så alle moduler kan genfindes.

I Holland var alle moduler målsat med GPS, og alle moduler kunne derfor genfindes efter 4 års drift.

## **Vedligeholdelse**

The Royal BAM Group vil etablere sig i Hvide Sande, og der bliver 5 – 10 lokale arbejdspladser i tilknytning til projektet, som bliver verdens største trykudligningsprojekt, hvor vi kan sammenligne resutaterne med de nordlige 55 km, hvor KDI fortsat sandfodrer i samme periode. Vi forestiller os at Geopartner i Ringkøbing, vil blive ansvarlig for de kvartalsvise opmålinger på stranden.

## **Tilsyn**

Vi forstiller at at Landinspektør Thomas Larsen fra KDI bliver tilsynsførende på projektet, idet han har de faglige kvalifikationer i relation til måleprogrammet.

## **Sandressourcer**

Sandressourserne i Nordsøen er begrænsede, samtidig med at sandkornstørrelsen er meget kritisk med en typisk D 50 på 0,18 mm.

Sandet er rent kystteknisk uegnet til sandfodring, og det er grunden til at alle sandfodringer skyller i havet på få timer i den første storm.


## **Nye analyser af måledata ved Skodbjerg**

Vi har nu fået udleveret alle måledata for Skodbjerg projektet for perioden 2005 – 2014, idet KDI fortsatte med at måle på vort anlæg efter at prøveperioden var udløbet og anlægget overgik til permanent drift betalt af SIC.

Efter forudgående ansøgning etablerede SIC trykudligningsmoduler i reference område 2, og stoppede dermed den ekstreme erosion, som vi så i perioden 2005 – 2008.

## Volumenberegninger

Volumenberegningerne er baseret på at klitfoden er kote +4 meter og ud til kote -0,2 meter som er hollandsk standard.



Analyserne fra Holland viser, at stranden voksede med 20 – 40 kubikmeter i rørområdet i perioden 2005 – 2010, hvor KDI ødelagde projektet med 2 revlefodringer i 2010 og 2011.

I referenceområde 2 var erosionen 170 kubikmeter pr meter i perioden fra jan 2005 til januar 2008, og erosionen var reelt den samme om der var sandfødret 100 kubikmeter pr meter, som vi har set ved Søndervig.

De 2 såkaldte eksperter og Kystdirektoratet har således talt usandt, når de siger at de ikke kan se en forskel på rørområdet og referenceområdet.

Kystdirektoratets juridiske afdeling erkender nu, at der foreligger nye oplysninger, som de ikke tidligere har været bekendt med

Vi er derfor klar til at gå ind og sikre vestkysten i stor målestok, idet SIC/Echo beach systemet kan stoppe erosionen på den jyske vestkyst, som dokumenteret i ovenstående figur. Fra Holland.

Med denne løsning kan KDI nu sandfodre med 1,7 mio. Kubikmeter på den nordlige ende af Fællesstrækningen, men der bliver en udgift til at fjerne den fejlsagne revlefodring ved Skodbjerg fra 2011. Vi mødeser et møde med Miljøministeren, idet vort tilbud er baseret på en 5 årig aftale og jeg er derfor rejst til København for at aflevere dette tilbud personligt.



Sådan så det ud ved Søndervig i september 2004, da sandfodringen på Fællesstrækningen var afsluttet. Veejen til stranden var bortskyllet og afspærret.

**Der er 5000 Kubikmeter tilbage  
primo december 2004**



Kystdirektoratet sandfodrede med 90.000 kubikmeter på en 1,0 km lang strækning. Arbejdet var afsluttet d. 20 oktober 2004, men der var kun 5000 kubikmeter tilbage i begyndelsen af december måned 2004. Ergo 85.000 kubikmeter var skyllet i havet på 6 uger.



Opmåling 21 december 2004



Sandfodringen var skyllet i havet 2 måneder efter at sandfodringen var afsluttet.



20 dage senere stod bunkeren 22 meter ude på stranden efter en storm  
d. 8 januar 2005

Havet havde således taget mere end 20 meter af klitterne på 1 nat.

## Sandfodringsforsøg på Nørlev strand

Kystdirektoratet laver også forsøg med sandfodring i samarbejde med grundejerne ved Lønstrup i lighed med sandfodringen på Fællesstrækningen og vi ser situationen på Nørlev strand før vinteren 2015/16



Page | 7

21 sommerhuse er nu skyllet i havet og nu forsøger man igen med sandfodring kombineret med halmballer



Vi ser her at stranden var 70 - 80 meter bred i 2005, hvor kommunen gik løs med gravemaskiner på vore trykudligningsrør, som nu har resulteret i en stor skandale, som går hele verden rundt.



**Stormen Bodil i 2013 skyllede et hus i  
havet på Nørlev strand**



Da SIC systemet på Nørlev strand var rykket op over nogle år begyndte husene at falde i havet

**Herefter gik grundejerne i gang med  
sandfodring, som anbefalet af KDI  
Billede 11 december 2014**



Vi ser her sandfodringen .som først blev gennemført i november/december 2014, da sandet slap op ved Hirtshals havn i forsommeren 2013





Sandfodringen skyllede i havet på 1 time d. 3 januar 2015



Her står jeg inde under huset d. 4 januar 2015 med en 2 meter lang tommestok



Da der ikke er mere sand i Hirtshals graver man bare i stranden med tre maskiner d. 7 og 8 januar 2015



Den 9 januar 2015 skyller sandfodringen igen i havet





Her ryger sommerhusene i havet, som vi også så med bunkeren ved Søndervig, hvor KDI havde sandfodret med 90,0 kubikmeter pr. meter.



Der er nu skyllet 21 huse i havet efter at Kystdirektoratet tvang Hjørring kommune til at rykke vore trykkudigningsmoduler op ved Lønstrup i 2005.

## Tilbud på ny sandsuger til Kystdirektoratet

SIC har igen d. 26 august afleveret et tilbud på at bygge en ny sandsuger i Kina til Kystdirektoratet, så kubikmeter prisen på sandfodring bliver mindre end 5,00 kr pr kubikmeter og ikke 48,10 kr., som KDI betaler en ekstern leverandør for øjeblikket, når vi ser på licitationsresultatet fra 2014, som var en stor skandale.

----- Original Message -----  
Subject: SV: referater  
Date: Wed, 2 Sep 2015 09:35:29 +0000  
From: "Hans Erik Cutoi-Toft (het)" <[het@kyst.dk](mailto:het@kyst.dk)>  
To: SIC - Skagen Innovation Center <[sic@shore.dk](mailto:sic@shore.dk)>

Hej Poul

Jeg kan svare med det samme, at Kystdirektoratet ikke ønsker at købe en sandsuger. Det er ikke forvaltningsretligt en afgørelse, så der er ikke noget at anke.

Vh  
Hans Erik

Sendt fra min HTC-telefon

Kystdirektoratet siger nu, at de har ret til at betale en ekstern leverandør 10 gange den reelle pris, hvis KDI selv udførte arbejdet.

Lokale borgere på vestkysten er rystede og Clavs Draiby Vedersø henvendte sig derfor til Esben Lunde Larsen, som nu er forskningsminister og inviterede Esben med på en tur på stranden ved Krylen Søndervig og Skodbjerger.

Esben Lunde Larsen lovede at tage kontakt til miljøministeren, hvis det kunne dokumenteres at Kystdirektoratet betalte en ekstern leverandør 10 gange prisen for sandfodring på vestkysten.

Hermed fremsendes dokumentationen igen, som blev afleveret i KDI på et møde d. 26 august i overværelse af 5 vidner.

Vi fremsender hermed igen tilbuddet fra The Royal BAM Group i Holland, som tilbød at stoppe erosionen på vestkysten 100 %.

Samtidig tilbød The Royal BAM Group en garanti på op til 71,0 mio. kr, som svarer til at KDI kunne pumpe 14 mio. Kubikmeter sand ind på vestkysten, hvis de købte deres egen nye sandsuger.

Skagen d. 14 september 2015

Poul Jakobsen

Bilag 1 Tilbud på ny sandsuger til KDI

Tilbud fra The Royal BAM Group indsat efterfølgende



Datum 16 December 2013

Uw referentie

Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023

Behandeld door F. Heinis

Afdeling BNDR

Telefoon direct (020) 407 22 80

Fax direct

E-mail f.heinis@bam-it.nl

Blad 1 van 7

Onderwerp **Proposal Ecobeach**

Postbus 206, 3980 CE Bunnik

Departementschef  
Mr J. Heinsen/Kystdirectoraat  
Frederiksholms Kanal 27 F  
1220 KOBENHAVN K  
Denmark

### Introduction

We like to introduce an unsolicited proposal (USP) to the Ministry of Transport and the Danish Coastal Authority for Ecobeach as a system for coastal protection of the west coast of Jutland.

BAM is a construction company which is established in the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, Ireland and the UK. In some niche markets we are operating worldwide. The turnover of BAM is 7,4 billion euro a year. BAM has experience in marine works and has developed research intensive products for coastal protection as the Xbloc (see [www.xbloc.com](http://www.xbloc.com), [www.dmc.nl](http://www.dmc.nl), DMC is a subsidiary of BAM)

BAM is familiar with the PEM system developed by Mr Poul Jacobsen of Skagen Innovations Center ( SIC). In the Netherlands we performed a test with Ecobeach which has been finished this year. Scientific presentations are scheduled for the next years. The Ecobeach test and our additional research gives BAM trust in the performance of the system as a tool for coastal protection.

Our USP consists at first of the installation and maintenance of Ecobeach over 110 km along the west coast of Denmark. Secondly our USP consists of the intensive surveying of the coast along the 110 km and additional analyses to monitor the change in the quality of the sand. Thirdly in our USP we like to offer a guarantee: if the systems does not perform according to our promises, a part of the project costs will be refunded to the Coastal Authority. This is a new concept in coastal management.

### Danish coastal management and the BAM USP

BAM has learned from the Ecobeach test that an innovation has to fit in a coastal management strategy of the responsible authorities.

We noticed that in Denmark, in contrary to the Netherlands, landowners are responsible for their own coastal protection and there is no legal obligation to feed the coast with a yearly quantity of sand. The decision for measures on the coast in Denmark is based on considerations of nature and recreation and is the outcome of a negotiation process with the

Datum 16 December 2013  
Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023  
Blad 2 van 7

municipalities. The Coastal Authority protects the coast in general with (beach) nourishments and occasionally with hard constructions.

The transport along the coast exceeds, according to the Coastal Authority, ca. 4 mio m<sup>3</sup> / year. From 1983 till now the coast has been nourished with 59 mio m<sup>3</sup> sand. This means a yearly input of ca 2 mio m<sup>3</sup>/year. Although we haven't found an official policy statement, apparently a continues erosion of the coast is accepted.

In our proposal we have the intention to stop the erosion. Ecobeach has the aim to fix the dune foot -and so the hinterland- and to increase the resistance of the beach by a stable quantity of sand in front of the dunes We are convinced that this proposal will contribute to the long term policy of the Coastal Authority and add value to the stakeholders of the coast.

#### **Why BAM starts with Ecobeach**

After evaluating the results of SIC, BAM decided in 2006 to perform a test in the Netherlands. With Ecobeach the beach is well protected and it improves the growth of the dunes. The advantages of the system are clear. The PEM system is environment friendly because it has only a minor influence on sea life due to the fact it works with the natural forces of the coast There is less exhaust of sand sources which are suitable for beach nourishment. Ecobeach has a favourable CO<sub>2</sub> footprint, in the Netherlands nourishments significantly contribute to the CO<sub>2</sub> footprint of the public authorities .

#### **Results BAM and Ecobeach test**

Of course BAM faced the question how the system works. In the research results from Denmark we noticed the approach for a search for a big effect of the PEM system which would explain the substantial growth of the beach. In our BAM research we started with the possibility that a small effect in a dynamic equilibrium also may lead to a substantial effect on the beach. We discovered that, despite the small effects, the properties of the beach on the longer term significantly are affected by Ecobeach. These new properties explains the growth and increased stability of the beach. With this new knowledge we trust the system.


A four year test has been carried out at Egmond at the west coast of the Netherlands, from 2006 to 2011, in cooperation with the Dutch Ministry of Public Works. The Ecobeach system was installed in two 3 km long test areas, 1 km apart. The southern test area is a stable coast without significant beach erosion. The northern test area is located in front of the town of Egmond. In this test area, beach and foreshore nourishments have been carried out since 1990 to maintain the shoreline in a seaward position. The last nourishment was carried out in 2005, approximately 1.5 year before the start of the Ecobeach test. The Ecobeach system has been installed in the nourished beach at the end of 2006.

Since the installation of the Ecobeach system the beach volume in the southern test area has grown in a linear trend till the system was removed in the beginning of 2011. At the end of the test period the beach volume had increased with on average 50 m<sup>3</sup>/m over the 3000 m. This

A small, handwritten signature in blue ink, located in the bottom right corner of the page.

Datum 16 December 2013  
 Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023  
 Blad 3 van 7

is an all-time high since the measurements started in 1965. The dune foot moved seawards during the test.



At the end of the Ecobeach test, six years after the last beach nourishment, the beach volume in the northern test area also reached an all-time high since 1965. Also in the northern test area the dune foot moved seawards. This all-time high was reached although this part of the coast had been eroding before the test.

At the end of the first year of the test, 2007, a severe storm occurred which caused a storm surge which led to the first storm closure of the Rotterdam storm surge barrier since its construction. At IJmuiden the highest waves since start of measurements were recorded, during the Ecobeach test.

During the test it has been observed that large quantities of fine sand are blown towards the dunes in the test areas. Both in the Dutch and in Danish test areas it has been investigated whether this would affect the grain size distribution on the beach. It has been found that in the southern test area at Egmond, the grain size diameter had significantly coarsened compared to the grain size before the test. This coarsening has occurred only in the upper 2 m of the beach, the active zone. Also in the test areas at Hvide Sande coarser sand has been found compared to the reference areas. Coarser sand leads to a more permeable, dryer and a more stable beach. Therefore it is believed that the PEMS initiate a process where the beach sand becomes coarser as fine beach sand is blown towards the dunes.

Datum 16 December 2013  
 Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023  
 Blad 4 van 7




Figure F3: D50 of the sediment along the coast near Egmond aan Zee, November 2009 [31]

**USP: Activities**

For this proposal BAM has obtained permission from SIC to apply Ecobeach in Denmark.

We propose to install Ecobeach over a stretch of 110 km along the west coast of Jutland for a period of at least five years. The system will be installed from Nymindégab to Agger Tange. After installation BAM will maintain the system for the project period.

**USP : Analyses and reports**

Ecobeach and the quantity on the beach will be monitored on a frequent basis. The beach level will be measured 4 times a year.

In our USP we will monitor the quality of the sand. We continue to build on the outcome of our Ecobeach research in the Netherlands. Before installation and with a yearly frequency the sand corn distribution will be determined along the stretch of 110 km. On a smaller stretch the frequency of measurements of the sand corn distribution will be more intensive. The outcome will be the relation of Ecobeach with the change in the quality and quantity of the sand on the beach.

Every year the survey results and analyses will be presented by BAM We will report on yearly basis at least the following coastal state indicators :

- actual dune foot position( +4 m line)
- actual average beach height in front of the dune foot
- the change in the sand corn diameter

The monitoring will probably lead to observations and analyses which still have to be determined by BAM.





Datum 16 December 2013  
Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023  
Blad 5 van 7

**USP: Price**

These activities are offered for 6,4 mio euro/year ( excl. VAT) and a contract period of 5 years.

Our proposal fits within the budget and still enables the Coastal Authority to develop new and or additional projects.

**USP: guarantee by BAM**

Guarantees are not standard in coastal management. For nourishments there is a payment for the quantity of sand delivered on the beach. There is no guarantee for the life time of the nourishment nor a guarantee for a coastal state indicator as the position of the dune foot. For Ecobeach a permanent system is applied and maintained on the coast. The performance of the system is based on results of tests and other projects. The effects on coastal state indicators are based on results of other projects. In all cases the results are affected by the local situation and weather/extreme storm conditions.

BAM has the intention to give a guarantee for the performance of the system because we trust the Ecobeach system.

The yearly results of Ecobeach will be defined in comparison with the coastal condition at the begin of the project. The evaluation time is before the storm season. Success of Ecobeach will be defined as:

- the average dune foot position over the 110 km is stable or
- the average sand quantity over the 110 km on the beach 60-80 m in front of the dune foot is stable
- In 3 of the 5 years this performance is achieved.

In the last condition is the effect of storms taken into account. The first two conditions means that on a local spot in the 110 km the coast can recede or accumulate.

If there is no success; at the end of the project a percentage of the total project costs will be paid back according to the following subdivision:

- 0 year success of the 5 years : pay back 30 % of the project costs
- 1 year success of the 5 years : pay back 20 % of the project costs
- 2 years success of the 5 years: pay back 10 % of the project costs

If there is a success the project will be continued for 5 more years.

We like to add some conditions for our guarantee:

- The parts of the coast which are already protected by the PEM system are not taken into account for our guarantee, These stretches are already stabilized by a growth of the beach..
- There will be no manmade adaptations on the coast during the project
- The maintenance ( bypassing of sand) of the navigation channels is unchanged
- Sand for nourishments will be derived from existing quarries on the sea
- The lee side erosion of existing port entrances are excluded ( the length has to be determined).

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be a stylized 'h' or similar character.

Datum 16 December 2013  
Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023  
Blad 6 van 7

During our maintenance activities we will adapt the Ecobeach system in order to gain success.

In case of no success according to the BAM definition but success to Coastal Authority's own evaluation, we offer also the possibility to continue the project for 5 more years.

Success of Ecobeach will result in an increase of the sand drift on the beach to the dunes. Measures to fix the sand on the coast are recommended, but excluded in our proposal.

#### **USP: procurement**

Our proposal contributes to the policy of the coastal authority and the stakeholders on the west coast of Jutland. There is, according to the announced tenders for beach nourishment, a need and budget to spend for the protection of the west coast of Jutland.

The solution of Ecobeach is wide within the budget for beach nourishment of the west coast of Jutland.

This proposal is based on a unique IP protected technology. This allows the authorities, according to EU legislation, to start negotiations with the authors of this USP for buying the system.

#### **What we expect from the coastal authorities in Denmark**

We like to cooperate for this project with the coastal authorities from the start to finally the evaluation of the project. In this cooperation is communication included.

We have the intention to tune our communication to stakeholders on the coast with the coastal authority. BAM propose to communicate with the coastal authorities on a regular base during the 5 years of the project.

We like to present and discuss our evaluation report(s) and the results of our analyses with the coastal specialists of the authorities.

We trust that BAM has the opportunity to use the survey data/reports of the Coastal Authority for our and/or joint analyse of the coast system.

Before the start of the project we expect all the necessary support from the coastal authorities also in relation to municipalities, for the proper permits for our installation and maintenance activities.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be the initials 'A.'.

Datum 16 December 2013  
Onze referentie FHE/HVE/HKI/13.023  
Blad 7 van 7

**Next step**

We are willing to give an explanation on our USP. The coastal authority has to decide to continue with this approach for coastal management. Then we can start up the process to transfer this USP to a contract.

With great interest we look forward to your response.

Yours sincerely,

BAM Nelis De Ruiter bv

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'H.J. Versteegen', written over a horizontal line.

Ing. H.J. Versteegen  
Director

