

Klimapuljen – appraisal anbefalinger og opfølgning

	Recommendation GGGI	Follow-up
1.	When the GGGI strategy and work plan are developed and approved by the GGGI Board, an inception review should be undertaken in Korea, in order to undertake a full assessment of the GGGI program and the organizational aspects that have not presently been finalized.	Agree.
2.	It is recommended that Denmark emphasize the aid effectiveness agenda within the field of green growth/economy country programs and processes, incl. eliminate overlaps and enhance complementarities between GGGI and others. It also recommended that the inclusion of other stakeholders in the country programs is encouraged and that the benefit of conducting analysis of drivers of change and political economy is highlighted.	Agree. The wider inclusion of stakeholders in the country projects will be incorporated into the GGGI strategy to be presented to the Board.
3.	It is recommended that Denmark promotes the view that GGGI should consider the need for a stronger country level presence throughout the period of the country programs, and not least in the phase of finalization and quality assurance of the national green growth plans.	Increased country level presence will be incorporated into the strategy to be presented to the GGGI Board.
4.	It is recommended that Denmark as a board member and otherwise actively promote further GGGI engagement in African countries.	Agree.
5.	It is recommended that cross-cutting issues are mainstreamed in the GGGI strategy and that Denmark pays close attention to the growth, poverty reduction and human rights aspects of the GGGI work.	A Danish TAS mission in April 2011 will work with GGGI to mainstream cross-cutting issues, incl. poverty focus, into the GGGI strategy and procedures.
6.	It is recommended that Denmark promotes the establishment of a GGGI the advisory council to be used actively for strategic guidance in order for GGGI to profit from existing experience in this crucial start-up phase.	GGGI is currently developing the guidelines for the advisory council.
7.	It is recommended that Denmark works towards lowering the ambitions of GGGI in terms of number of partner countries in the first years, and consider selecting cluster of countries where economies of scale and cross-fertilization of experience can be obtained.	Agree.
8.	The Danish Embassy in Seoul should assess the financial and administrative procedures of GGGI before disbursing any funds. Subsequently, a first installment of an inception period of six – eight months can be disbursed based on an approved budget and work-plan for that period. The rest of the funding should depend on the outcome of the inception review where the overall strategy, work plan and budget will be assessed. The staff policy of GGGI could be assessed as part of	Agree.

	Recommendation GGGI	Follow-up
	an inception review.	
9.	It is recommended that Denmark appoint a GGGI Board member as quickly as possible.	Agree. The Danish Board Member candidacy should be presented to the GGGI Board for approval at the Board meeting on 30. March 2011. This will enable the Danish Board member to participate in the next Board meeting on 19 June.
10.	It is recommended to promote the establishment of quantified and time-bound indicators as part of the GGGI strategy and to request submit six-monthly reporting to the Board from the country programs and other activity areas of GGGI.	A Danish TAS mission in April 2011 will work with GGGI to develop quantified and time-bound indicators, monitoring and reporting procedures.
11.	It is recommended that Denmark requests an annual update through the Board on developments with regard to the assumptions and risks, and that additional or adjusted assumptions and risks are added.	A Danish TAS mission in April 2011 will work with GGGI on assumptions and risks.

	Recommendations GCPF	Follow-up
1.	It is <u>recommended</u> that the proposed program be presented to the Board of Danida with the minor adjustments that are proposed in this appraisal report.	
2.	It is <u>recommended</u> that the annual shareholders meetings are used to actively monitor developments and performance of the Fund.	

	Recommendations SIDS	Follow-up
1.	(R1) ensure full consultation with and active involvement by the governments, energy sector agencies and other stakeholders in the SIDS in the continued development of the “docking mechanism” and “support partnership.”	
2.	(R2) in finalizing the program document, opportunities for tackling poverty and confronting gender inequalities in energy sector	

	transformation be made more explicit.	
3.	(R3) A inception review of the program be carried out by the Technical Advisory Service (Danida) before the end of 2011, focusing on the organizational set up and on the process of allocating funds to the SIDS for energy sector (RET and EE) projects.	

	Recommendation Maldives	Follow-up
1.	Complete the design of the program as soon as possible, with a concise specification of objectives, strategies, results and outcomes as well as cost estimates.	Due to local elections and political uncertainty the detailed formulation process has been delayed
2.	Continue the program design with a view to focusing on priorities for resilient development, based on thorough consultation with stakeholders on the selected atoll or atolls.	Following local elections the new authorities are getting ready to engage
3.	Finalise the program management arrangements such that the Maldives government together with local institutions on the selected atoll or atolls play an active role in planning and oversight.	A local government reform process has produced new structures to be incorporated in project design
4.	An inception review of the program to be carried out by Danida, when the final program design has been completed, in accordance with terms of reference to be agreed with the UNDP and the Government of the Maldives.	An inception phase to be followed by a review is scheduled for

	Recommendations Indonesia	Follow-up
1.	Clarify the management set-up	The management set-up is basically in place but needs to be better described
2.	Phase implementation to allow for proper preparation; TAS lead Inception Review after 6 months	An inception phase of 6 months followed by a review will meet the need for phasing
3.	Specify the link to Indonesia's overall REDD Program	The links will be very close as the Embassy is involved in the overall REDD program
4.	Before the inception review the following outcome should be achieved	Will be included in the TOR for

- A study of the legal feasibility of reclaiming the land for unproductive investors;
- Capacity assessments , including of the financial management capacity, of the Harapan Management Unit and the NGO Burung; The amounts assigned to both entities seem very high;
- Documentation on meetings held with the concerned communities in the area; with the Indigenous Peoples to guarantee free, prior and informed consent, and with representatives from the famer communities to get acceptance on the proposed strategy;
- Link to national strategies and to the Task Force for REDD + specified;
- A revised risk assessment;
- A revised Monitoring Framework;
- The need for Indonesian and international technical assistance defined, including an assessment of the Terms of Reference for International Technical Assistance (ITA), and an analysis of advantages/disadvantages of resident advisors to short term consultants. The capacity assessments will indicate whether the pool for international and national TA should be managed according to Indonesian or Danida procurement procedures;
- Clarification of Steering Committee functions. The link between the pilot project in Harapan and the overall national programme should be the Steering Committee, ideally an already existing Steering Committee. The project document should clearly describe Steering Committee functions, in particular the monitoring responsibility (both the Monitoring Reporting and Verification System and the social impact monitoring) and documentation of results;
- Budget should be output-based and the monitoring of expenditures relate to the output- based budget.

the inception review.

The procurement procedures to be applied will be those of the implementing partner – not of the Government

Recommendation Kenya

Follow-up

1.

Restructure the programme to consist of three components, each with its own immediate objective and related concrete outputs.

The 3 'outputs' will be changed to 'components' and immediate

		objectives aligned with the objectives of BSPS and NRPM will be introduced.
2	Not to relax on environmental assessment of the considered projects, even if they belong safely to the clean energy category, and to ensure that the Investment Committee has access to expertise in all the project fields considered	GOK standards does take this into consideration and will be followed – implementation will be monitored
3.	As soon as possible carry out a thorough assessment or study of likely absorption rates for the additional funding through the three components.	Will be assessed by the inception phase review. The ProDoc provides for possible budget adjustments by then.
4	Integrate as much as possible the FSCCP components 1/REACT and 2/KAM into the BSPS II sector programme and the FSCCP component 3/CDTF into the NRMP sector programme, also with respect to standard annual reviews.	The ProDoc does pay adequate attention to alignment
5	Clarify the relation between the FSCCP and the Ministry of Environment and Mineral Resources (MEMR) at programme management and coordination level.	It is not foreseen that MEMR and OPM (Office of Prime Minister) will be implementing partners
6	Carry out an inception review of FSCCP components 1/REACT and 2/KAM as part of the regular annual review of BSPS II, scheduled next time for October 2011 and to field an inception review of FSCCP during the second semester of 2011 preferably integrated in an annual review of NRMP, if such review will take place.	The inception review phase will clarify the division of work. A brief addendum to the NRMP will be considered
7.	Thoroughly revise the logical framework for the programme with a view at the same time to facilitate monitoring at output and outcome level.	The Logical Framework will be updated during the Inception Phase