

Kommunaludvalget (2. samling)
L 65 - Bilag 18
Offentligt

Folketinget – Kommunaludvalget

Christiansborg, den 13. maj 2005

Til

udvalgets medlemmer og stedfortrædere.

Ad

L 65

**Forslag til lov om regioner og om nedlæggelse af amtskommunerne,
Hovedstadens Udviklingsråd og Hovedstadens Sygehusfællesskab.
Af indenrigs- og sundhedsministeren (Lars Løkke Rasmussen).**

Vedlagt forslag til rekommandation og rapport fra the Congres of Local and Regional Authorities om Danmark.

Der er tale om et forslag der vil blive behandlet og eventuelt vedtaget på kongressens session den 31. maj – 1. juni 2005.

Med venlig hilsen
Maiken Bork Clausen,
udvalgssekretær.

THE CONGRESS

OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL AUTHORITIES

Council of Europe

F – 67075 Strasbourg Cedex

Tel: +33 (0)3 88 41 20 00

Fax: +33 (0)3 88 41 27 51/ 37

<http://www.coe.int/cplre>

12th PLENARY SESSION

Strasbourg, 3 May 2005 CG (12) 8

Recommendation

TWELFTH SESSION

(Strasbourg, 31 May – 2 June 2005)

Draft Recommendation (1)

on

local and regional democracy in Denmark

Rapporteurs:

Kathryn SMITH, United Kingdom

Chamber of local authorities

Political Group : SOC

Karsten BEHR, Germany

Chamber of Regions

Political Group: EPP/CD

Roberto RUOCCO, Italy

Chamber of Regions

Political Group: NR

(1) Unanimously approved by the Institutional Committee on 15 April 2005

Members of the Committee:

H-U Stöckling, Chair, H. Aalderink, V. Alarova, E. André (alternate: W. Borsus), N. Aureglia-Caruso, O. Aybar, K. Behr, N. Bychkov (alternate: S. Vavilov), E. Calota (alternate: P. Paun Jura), L. Caveri, M. Chernishev, C. Clancy, M. Cohen (alternate: I. Micallef), M. P. Del Moral Milla, C. M. Do Vale Cesar, D. Drakos, A. Esen, A. R. Esteves Solheiro, A. L. Gonzalez Garcia, B. Grasset, M. Guegan, B. Halvarsson, V. Iakoubovskiy (alternate: I. Pereverzeva), W. Kelsch, P. Koits, J. Krzyzewski, O. A Kvaløy, L. Lumtzer, P. Mangin, J. Mans, H. Margaryan (alternate: E. Yeritsyan), T. Milosevic, A. Mimenov, A. Moiseos, G. Mosidze, J. Mrazek (alternate: F. Dohnal), C. Newbury, J. Nyberg, M. Obiegala, O. Omelchenko, (alternate: O. Shevchuk), C. Ostaficiuc, S. Pekic, G. Pino (alternate: G. Magni), A. Porawski, H. Rech, G. Rhodio, B. Rope, R. Ruocco, K. Sainz de la Maza Arrola (alternate: R. Polo Bernardo), J. Schütz, T. Scott, D. Shakespeare, K. Smith, V. Storm Rasmussen, J. Sulcs (alternate: L. Neilande), H. Sunts, G. Szabo, V. Toth Prosolj, K. Tzanakoulis, V. Udovychenko, J-C. Van Cauwenberghe, K. Z. Varga, V. Varnavskiy, O. Vlasak, A. Voytenko, V. Vrabie, N. Zeybekci, R. Zeynalov

NB. Names of members who have taken part in the vote are printed in italics.

Secretary of the Committee: P. MORALES

2

The Congress,

1. Recalling:

- a. Article 2, paragraph 3 of the Committee of Ministers' Statutory Resolution (2000)1, which stipulates that the Congress shall prepare on a regular basis country-by-country reports on the situation of local and regional democracy in all member states and in states which have applied to join the Council of Europe, and shall ensure, in particular, that the principles of the European Charter of Local Self-Government are implemented;
- b. Congress Resolutions 31 (1996), 58 (1997) and 106 (2000) defining the principles for the preparation of such reports;

- c. its decision to prepare a monitoring report on the situation of local and regional democracy in Denmark;
- d. that Denmark was among the first signatory states of the European Charter of Local Self-Government and that, since it ratified it in 1988, Denmark has been bound by this international legal instrument in its entirety;
- e. that the provisions of the European Charter of Local Self-Government apply to the Danish municipalities (*kommuner*) as well as to the Danish counties (*amtskommuner*), and that the Charter does not apply to Greenland and the Faroe Islands;
- f. that Denmark is also bound by the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Cooperation between Territorial Communities or Authorities as well as by the Convention on the Participation of Foreigners in Public Life at Local Level;
- g. Recommendation (2004) 12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the processes of reform of boundaries and/or structure of local and regional authorities;
- h. Recommendation (2001) 19 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the participation of citizens in local public life as well as the principles laid down in the Revised European Charter on the Participation of Young People in Local and Regional Life;
- i. the Helsinki Declaration on regional self-government, adopted by the European Ministers responsible for local and regional government in Helsinki in June 2002 which lays down concepts and principles for the setting-up, organisation and functioning of regional self-governments, while at the same time recognising the wide diversity in the models and forms of regional self-government in Europe;
- j. the explanatory memorandum on the situation of local and regional democracy in Denmark prepared by rapporteurs Kathryn Smith (United Kingdom), Roberto Ruocco (Italy) and Karsten Behr (Germany) based on the results of the official visits to Copenhagen on 2-3 September 2004 and 29-31 March 2005 ¹.

2. Thanking:

- a. the Danish Government and the Danish Parliament (*Folketing*) for their co-operation and their constructive attitude during the preparation of the report;

¹ CG (12) 8 Part II

3

- b. the national associations "Danish Regions" and "Local Government Denmark" as well as those representatives of local authorities and citizens' associations for the information they provided during the visits of the Congress delegation and for their welcome and hospitality;

- c. Professor Zoltan Szente, member of the Group of Independent Experts of the Institutional Committee of the Congress, for having acted as a consultant in the preparation of the report on the situation of local and regional democracy in Denmark.

3. Considering that:

- a. a broad reform of the Danish public administration is underway and should be effective as from 1st January 2007;
- b. this reform was launched with a view to developing the performance of the public sector in such a way that it can meet the requirements of the future, and to improve democracy so that the citizens and the users of public services can be involved more actively in the decisions of public policy;

c. this reform will modify the current structure of regional and local government in Denmark in particular:

- i.* by dissolving the existing 13 county self-governments and by creating five regional self-governments;
- ii.* by reducing the number of local self-governments to set up larger municipalities or binding partnerships between municipalities, so as to allow them to provide better quality public service.

d. every local self-government has been consulted and invited to express a wish regarding amalgamation with other municipality(ies);

e. an independent evaluation institute under the Ministry of the Interior and Health will be set up as from 1st January 2006 to systematically monitor decentralised public task performance in the public sector;

f. a reflection aiming at creating the basis for enhancing participatory democracy in Denmark has been launched with a wide range of organisations and associations;

g. until the reform comes into force on 1st January 2007, the term of office of the members of municipal to be included in a merger and of members of county councils will be prolonged over 2006, though they were elected in 2001 for a four-year term of office according to the electoral legislation in force in Denmark;

h. though the application of the European Charter of Local Self-Government in its entirety to local and regional authorities is desirable, member states can opt for applying only part of its provisions under the terms of paragraph 1 of Article 12 of the Charter.

4

4. Welcoming:

a. the objectives pursued by the reform, which aim at setting-up a more efficient public sector, deliver better public services without increasing current taxation levels, improving health-care services all over the country, establishing clearer responsibilities between administration levels and enhance participatory democracy;

b. the large consensus achieved at the local level in Denmark about the reform, since the reform process was launched in October 2002.

5. Regretting however that:

a. since the new regions will not be covered by the Danish Local Government Act of 1968 and are not considered by the Danish Government as the successors to the counties, the Danish Government might decide to leave them out of the scope of the European Charter on Local Self Government;

b. the new regions will primarily be assigned the responsibility for health-care and, besides that, they will be entrusted with no full nor exclusive supplementary competencies;

c. the new distribution of competencies among public administration levels will also imply a transfer of the current counties' competencies to the central government;

d. the new regions will not have the right to levy taxes;

e. the new regions may not have the right to associate with other regions or municipal governments in order to carry out tasks of common interest;

f. in a very small number of municipalities, the reform has been contentious and does not appear to carry the support of the local community;

g. support of the reform from the regional level was not achieved and that the majority in

Parliament supporting the reform was narrow.

6. Recommends that:

a. the Danish Government, the Danish Parliament and other relevant authorities take into account the following recommendations when finalising the reform and after its entry into force:

i. the new five regions which will be set-up as from 1 January 2007 be covered by the European Charter of Local Self-Government preferably in its entirety, by considering to ensure that the new regions:

- are entrusted with sufficient, full and exclusive competencies in accordance with

5

Article 4, paragraph 4 of the Charter;

- are given the right to levy taxes in accordance with Article 9, paragraph 3 of the Charter;

- have the right to associate with other regions in order to carry out tasks of common interest as provided for in Article 10, paragraph 1 of the Charter.

or, where necessary, in ensuring that the five new regions be covered by most of the principles of the Charter, by requesting certain exemptions to its application, in accordance with Article 12, paragraph 1 of the Charter;

ii. local and regional authorities be represented and fully involved in the work of the Evaluation Institute which will be set up to monitor the performance of the reform;

iii. pursue efforts to come up with more elaborated proposals aiming at enhancing participatory democracy as one of the main goals of the reform underway;

iv. in those municipalities where a decision on amalgamation is still to be made, find appropriate solutions based on broad consultation, and respectful as far as possible of the wishes expressed by the local councils and the citizens;

v. ensure that the Danish delegation to the Congress is composed during 2006 according to the principles set out in Article 2, paragraph 2 of the Charter of the Congress and of Article 3, paragraph 1 of Statutory Resolution (2000) 1.

b. the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe instruct the Steering Committee on Local and Regional Democracy (CDLR) to look into the possible consequences of the reform of the Danish public administration in the fulfilment of Denmark's international obligations under the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Co-operation between Territorial Communities or Authorities.

THE CONGRESS

OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL AUTHORITIES

Council of Europe

F – 67075 Strasbourg Cedex

Tel: +33 (0)3 88 41 20 00

Fax: +33 (0)3 88 41 27 51/ 37

<http://www.coe.int/cplre>

12th PLENARY SESSION

Strasbourg, 3 May 2005 CG (12) 8

Part II

TWELFTH SESSION

(Strasbourg, 31 May – 2 June 2005)

Local and regional democracy in Denmark

Rapporteurs:

Kathryn SMITH, United Kingdom

Chamber of local authorities

Political Group : SOC

Karsten BEHR, Germany

Chamber of Regions

Political Group: EPP/CD

Roberto RUOCCO, Italy

Chamber of Regions

Political Group: NR

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

2

Introduction

1. According to the Article 2.3 of Statutory Resolution (2000) 1 of the Committee of Ministers of Council of Europe, the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities (CLRAE) shall carry out monitoring reports on the situation of local and/or regional democracy in the member states and in states which have applied for membership, on a regular basis. So far, several country reports have been prepared, but none for Denmark. Therefore the CLRAE decided to do so in 2004.

2. To prepare and submit the Danish report to the Congress, Ms. Kathryn Smith (United Kingdom, SOC, L) and Mr. Roberto Ruocco (Italy, NI, R) were appointed as rapporteurs. Mr. Karsten Behr (Germany, R, EPP), replaced Mr Ruocco on the second visit to Denmark. In carrying out their task, they were assisted by Mr. Zoltán Szente (a member of the Group of Independent Experts attached to the Institutional Committee of the Congress), Mr. Ivan Volodin and Ms Pilar Morales (Secretariat of Congress). The delegation paid two visits to Denmark, the first was from 2 to 3 September, 2004, and the second visit took place from 29 to 31 March, 2005.

3. During the visits, the Congress delegation met a number of people (for the detailed list see the appendices) discussing not only the present situation of local and regional democracy but the designed reforms of the whole administrative structure of Denmark as well.

4. Our report was prepared on the basis of the information received during the two study visits and put at the disposal of the Congress delegation by the competent authorities of the central government, the members of the relevant parliamentary committee, the national associations of the local and regional self-governments, the representatives of the City Council of Copenhagen, and Frederiksberg, and the representatives of the Municipal Council of Horsholm,

Fredensborg-Humlebæk, Birkerød and Værløse, and, finally, the representatives of the Borgerkomite (Citizens Committee) in Fredensborg-Humlebæk.

5. Denmark signed the European Charter of Local Self-Governments among the first signatory member states in 1985 and ratified it in 1988 (thereafter: Charter) declaring that:

- Denmark considers itself bound by the European Charter of Local Self-Governments in its entirety;

- the provisions of the Charter would apply to the Danish municipalities (*kommuner*) as well as to the Danish counties (*amtskommuner*) with the exception of the Metropolitan Council (*Hovedstadsrådet*), which was abolished in 1989;

- the Charter would not apply to Greenland and the Faroe Islands.

6. Nevertheless, the Charter has not direct legal effects in Denmark. The Danish legal system is a dualistic one, which means that international treaties and conventions, like the Charter, may have legal force only if a separate act of Parliament transposes it into the domestic law. The ratification of an international convention, like the Charter, in itself is not equivalent to its legal incorporation into the Danish legal system. However, when ratifying the Charter in 1988 the view of the Danish government was that there was no need for any changes or adoption of new legislation in order to fulfil the Charter, since the existing laws of Denmark had been already in full conformity with the principles and requirements of the Charter. Therefore, although the

3

Danish government has the opinion that the Charter can be directly invoked before and applied by the Danish courts and other authorities, it does not make sense.

7. As to the subject of the present report, Denmark is in a unique situation since a comprehensive reform of the administrative structure of the country is in process. Thus, our report is intended not only to describe the present situation of local and regional democracy in Denmark, but also to set out the most important proposals and directions of the public sector reform examining their compatibility with the fundamental principles and norms of the Charter.

8. Below, the first section of the report deals with the present situation of local and regional democracy in Denmark, describing the constitutional and legislative bases of the local government system, the administrative structure of the country, the division of tasks and powers, the status and organisation, the finance, and the supervision of local authorities, and, finally, the present system of consultation between central and local governments.

9. The second part summarizes the most important changes of the planned administrative reform including the major criticisms our delegation met during the visits.

10. Our conclusions can be found in the last section of the report. This part comprises the results of our assessments on the compliance of the Danish local government system with the principles and requirements of the European Charter of Local Self-Governments and our proposals to be translated into a Congress resolution and a Recommendation to the Committee of Ministers.

I. PRESENT SITUATION OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL DEMOCRACY IN DENMARK

1. Constitutional and legislative bases

11. The principle of local self-government is entrenched in the Danish Constitution of 1953.

Section 82 says:

“The right of the municipalities to manage their own affairs independently under the supervision of the State shall be laid down by statute.”

12. It means that in general, local public affairs are to be carried out – within the limits of the law – by the local authorities. The specific rules concerning the internal structure, the finance, and the supervision of local governments are laid down by the Local Government Act of 1968, which

has been amended several times. The most recent consolidated act is no 968 of 2 December 2003.

13. There is a separate law on the election of the municipal and county governments. The Local Government Election Act of 2001 regulates the franchise and eligibility, voting system and election applied at local and regional level.

14. The distribution of public responsibilities between the State, regions, and local authorities is laid down by several laws of Parliament.

It is to be noted, that there is a meaningful difference in the Danish administrative terminology between the 'counties' and 'regions'. In Denmark, both the municipal and county governments are to be seen as local authorities. The importance of the distinction between the counties and regions is growing in this period, because one of the

4

15. As it was indicated above, the present system of local and regional governments is being reformed. In order to implement these considerable changes, 49 bills on the planned reform of the administrative structure were submitted to the Danish Parliament in February 2005, which are expected to be adopted in June 2005.

2. Administrative structure of Denmark

16. In Denmark, a two-level local government system exists. The present administrative structure as well as the distribution of tasks and powers of local and regional self-governments was established by the local government reform of 1970. Before this substantial change of administrative division, which affected also the state administrative counties, the judicial system and the police, there were as many as 1,386 local authorities (86 boroughs and about 1300 parishes) and 25 counties. But since the reform, at the basic level, there have been 275 municipalities, whereas regionally 14 county self-governments have been working. From the first of January 2003 the county of the island Bornholm and the 5 municipalities on the island were merged into one municipality. Since then the number of municipalities in Denmark has been 271 and the number of counties 13. The municipalities of Copenhagen, Frederiksberg and Bornholm (the latter since 2003) have a special status, being municipalities undertaking county tasks as well.

17. The number of inhabitants of the municipalities varies from approximately 2.200 (Municipality of Laesoe) to approximately 501.000 (Municipality of Copenhagen), while the number of inhabitants of the counties varies from about 224.000 (County of Ribe) to approximately 649.000 (County of Aarhus).

18. Both municipalities and counties have "general competence" to act within their administrative boundaries.

3. Division of tasks and powers

19. As in many other European countries, the public sector tasks are divided in Denmark between the state administration and the municipal and county governments. By and large, the classical state administrative functions, like police, defence, the administration of justice, foreign service, certain education services, special training and research, functions related to the asylum procedure, etc. are handled directly by the central government and its regional units. The Danish Constitution refers to municipalities as they are in charge of the management of their own affairs, and the range of responsibilities of local authorities is specified by law.

20. Municipal governments are the basic level local authorities which are the closest to the citizens.

major changes in the planned reform is to abolish the existing counties and establishing larger regions with different scope of authority.

Nevertheless, in this report, we study the regional democracy of Denmark following the Guidelines of the Institutional Committee of the Council of Europe on the preparation of reports on the situation of Local and Regional Democracy in Council of Europe member States (CG/INST (7) 31 rev 1, Strasbourg, 1 June 2001), and use

the concept of 'regions' as it is defined in the draft Charter on Regional Self-Governments.

5

21. According to the present distribution of tasks and functions, the municipal governments are – among other tasks – responsible for:

- Primary education;
- Child care and care for elderly people;
- Basic health services;
- Employment (particularly organising projects for non-insured unemployed persons);
- Public utilities (water supply, electricity);
- Housing, urban development and planning;
- Environmental protection;
- Some tasks concerning roads and public transport;
- Leisure, sport and cultural facilities;

22. Municipalities have primary responsibility for social welfare and health services, but they also have a key role in tax assessment. The individual functions are specified in a number of laws of the particular sectors providing usually wide ranging discretionary power for local authorities in performing them, although the degree of local freedom is certainly different in the various sectors. The local authorities carry out some state administrative functions related to some tax assessment tasks delegated by the central government directly.

23. The major scope of responsibility of the county councils is as follows:

- Provision of hospitals
- Public health insurance
- Post 16 secondary education
- Social welfare of the disabled
- Regional planning
- Nature conservation and environmental protection
- Highways
- Regional railways
- Public bus services

24. In the division of work between the regional and local governments, counties fulfil those tasks which require a larger number of inhabitants to ensure quality and sustainability in their performance than those tasks which are placed at municipal level. In some cases, county councils co-operate with the central government and municipal councils concerned, for example in regional planning.

25. As it can be seen, the main logic of this division of tasks and duties between the two tiers of local government is that the municipalities are in charge primarily of the social services, primary education, and communal services, while the tasks of the county governments focus on health, secondary education and other services affecting larger territory and population.

4. Status and organisation of local and regional self-governments

26. The internal organisation of municipal and county self-governments is laid down by the Local Government Act of 1968 as it applies to all the counties as well as municipalities. The main decision-making body of local authorities is the local council (or county council in the regions, respectively). The members of the council are elected every four years directly by the

6

local (county) citizens. The suffrage and the local government election system are laid down by the Local Government Election Act. According to the Act, every citizen over 18 who is a permanent resident of the municipality (and in a region), is entitled to vote for the municipal (and

the county) council concerned. The representatives of the local and county councils are elected for a four-year period based on a proportional system.

27. The number of local representatives in the local councils ranges from 9 to 31. However, in the City of Copenhagen, the council consists of maximum 55 members. The number must be uneven and is decided by the local councils themselves. Generally the number of their members reflects the number of inhabitants of the municipality.

28. Each local council elects its mayor from among its own members. This means that committees are responsible for the preparation and implementation of the council decisions and for the administration of local authority functions. In addition they can make decisions on behalf of the council. The mayor is the chairman of the representative body, as well as the chief executive of the local government. The council passes the annual budget, imposes local taxes, issues by-laws, etc.

29. The executive functions are carried out by committees having primary responsibility for the administration of municipal tasks. While all local authorities have to set up a financial committee, they may establish other standing committees too. The finance committee has extensive powers including the preparation of the draft budget and the administration of the local and county councils' economy and staff. It also functions as planning committee and, as such, co-ordinates both economic and physical planning of the authority.

30. Although this government by committees method of local executive is the usual one, the largest municipalities are allowed instead to establish a system where the administration is managed by a corporate body (magistracy) and run a magistracy, elected by the local council and consisting of the mayor, and so-called "aldermen" representing the political parties. The magistracy performs the same functions as the committees in other local authorities.

31. The organisation of the county councils is quite similar to that of the local councils. The number of members of these councils can according to the law range also from 7 to 31, although each region may decide on the number of its own members. The number must be uneven and is decided by the county councils themselves. The county council is chaired by the mayor, who is elected by the council from amongst its own members. Like the municipalities, the regions can also be characterised by the government-by-committee formula.

7

5. Finance

32. In Denmark, the local governments' share of expenditure in GDP is about 31 per cent, one of the highest all over Europe. If we take their share of total public expenditure, it is clear that the local governments are key actors in public service delivery since they spend as much as 56 per cent of the all government expenditure.

33. Local governments have revenues from their own resources, central support and other sources.

34. The financial resources of local authorities are:

- local and shared taxes;
- charges and fees;
- credits and loans;
- state reimbursements;
- general and specific grants.

35. The local government tax revenues amount to about 56 per cent of the total income of municipalities and counties. Local authorities decide each year the percentage of these taxes in their budget.

36. Significant local revenues derive from charges and fees for local services. These rates are

paid by the users of services delivered by local government institutions and service providers such as public utilities, transport, day-care facilities, and so on. Local authorities may have revenues from investment incomes, eg. from sales of local government property.

37. Local authorities may get revenues also in an indirect way; that is by receiving money from so-called shared taxes. For instance, they receive a certain share of the company tax which is imposed by the central government, collected by state authorities.

38. For capital spending, local authorities may take out loans and credits, although the amount of loans is restricted by the central government .

39. Municipalities and counties also receive state contributions as reimbursements of certain types of expenditure (eg. in the social area).

40. The high proportion of local tax revenues in the local government income structure provides great autonomy for local authorities. On the other hand, in itself, it preserves the regional differences and the inequalities between the richer and the poorer municipalities, since the tax base is largely different in the various municipalities and regions. According to an assessment of these differences, the tax base per inhabitants of the wealthiest municipality is more than two and a half times higher than that of the poorest. Moreover, the costs of maintaining the same level of services are not the same in all municipalities. Local governments which are in a less beneficial situation have to spend more to provide the same public services than the others. Therefore, a financial equalisation scheme is used for ensuring that the same level of public services is achieved with a more uniform tax rate despite variations in the level of income and in the demographic profile of the municipality. It is said that the equalisation system is to ensure a more uniform relationship between taxes and services. By this mechanism, the central government allocates block grants not earmarked for any specific expenditure.

8

41. The share of the local government resources shows the following figures:

Share in local government revenues as % of the total

(2002)

Local and shared taxes 56

Charges and fees 26

Reimbursements 8

Loans 1

General grants 10

Share in county government revenues as % of the total

(budget 2005)

Taxes on income 79

Land taxes 10

General grants 9

Other 2

6. Supervision of local authorities

42. As we have seen, Section 82 of the Constitution empowers the State to exert supervision over the local authorities. The supervision of local and county governments is exercised by five county governors. These supervisory authorities exercise legal control over local governments, but they cannot investigate the reasonableness or efficiency of the activity of the municipal and county governments.

43. The supervisory bodies have wide ranging powers to check and enforce the legality of local government actions. Among others, they are entitled:

- to annul the illegal decisions of the local authorities;

- to suspend the actions being under consideration;
- to set default fines imposed to force a local council to comply with a binding decision made by an instance of appeal or a sector authority;
- to impose a penalty on the responsible members of the local council which has omitted to implement an act which is in its duty to perform according to law.

44. The Minister of the Interior and Health monitors the five county governors. The local and county authorities may challenge the action of the supervisory body appealing to the Minister of the Interior and Health. Although during our visits we were not given any information about the right of local and county governments to turn to ordinary court against the supervisory actions made either by the county governors, or the Minister, according to the Ministry of the Interior and Health, the local governments have the right to bring any administrative act before a court of law.

45. It is worth noting that special supervisory or appeal boards have been set up in many subject areas to supervise or deal with complaints concerning local authority decisions and performance of their tasks.

9

7. Consultation with local governments

46. In Denmark, local authorities may freely establish or join associations for representing and promoting their interests. At the moment, there are two national associations of local governments. Local Government Denmark represents the interests of municipal governments, while Danish Regions represents the county governments. Whilst the Municipality of Bornholm holds a membership of both associations, the city councils of Copenhagen and Frederiksberg – on account for their special status – do not belong to any of the associations, but are consulted by the central government in all matters relevant to the local government.

47. Although there is not a uniform and institutionalized procedure for the consultation between the central government and local authorities, the national associations – on an equal basis – are consulted in all matters relevant to the local government interests. Since the local governments have a high share in the total public expenditure, it is of great importance to coordinate between the national economic policy and the financial needs of local authorities. For this purpose, the representatives of the Government (the Minister of the Interior and the Health and the Minister of Finance) and the two national associations conclude an agreement every year on the amount of the central block grant and the level of local taxation. Although the agreement does not have a direct legal force on the individual local authorities, they usually take it into consideration by adjusting their economy to it.

II. PLANNED REFORMS

48. For the past two years, comprehensive preparatory work has been done in order to make proposals on the structural reform of the Danish public administration. In October 2002 the Government appointed a Commission on Administrative Reform with the task of investigating the existing administrative system assessing its strengths and weaknesses and drawing up proposals for the redistribution of the public sector tasks and the new structure of regional and local government.

49. The basic purpose of the reform was to develop the performance of the public sector in such a way that it can meet the requirements of the future, and to improve democracy so that the citizens and the users of public services can be involved more actively in the decisions of public policy. The comprehensive and thorough nature of the reform makes it possible to achieve other objectives and goals too. These official aims are

- to establish a simpler and more efficient public sector;

- to deliver better public services with unchanged tax levels;
- to improve health care service;
- to determine clear responsibility and to eliminate the so-called "grey areas" where the responsibility for service delivery is not clear.

50. As a part of its work, the Commission made an analysis of the current administrative division and distribution of tasks and functions between the central, regional (county) and municipal governments. In doing so, it identified a number of problems and disadvantages in the present functional and structural arrangements. The weaknesses discovered by this body have been attributed partly to the too small size of counties and municipalities, and partly to the ineffective distribution of tasks between the three levels of government. These problems bring

10 about a lot of negative effects, for example reducing the level of quality of public services, increasing their costs in such important areas like health care, employment, groups with special needs, special education and taxation.

51. The Commission submitted its recommendations to the Government in January 2004 proposing six different models of administrative structure and division of tasks.

52. According to the main conclusion of the Commission a large number of basic level local authorities are too small in proportion to the tasks and duties they have to carry out. These administrative units do not have enough financial and professional capacity to maintain and improve the quality of public services, and they are not able to provide broad options for citizens who are consumers of those services. Furthermore, sustaining the required level of public services needs more expenditure in a number of areas, since the size of local governments is not optimal for co-ordination and service delivery.

53. It is to be noted that the Danish local government system has been for a long time an integrated one having large municipalities from a European comparative view. Nevertheless, the Commission report claims that large municipalities are not less democratic than small or medium-sized local governments.

54. The Government published its own proposals for a new administrative structure and division of tasks between the tiers of government in April, 2004 launching political negotiations with the political parties to get support for it. The result of this process was an Agreement on a Structural Reform between the Government and the Danish People's Party.

55. The Agreement sets out the major changes to be carried out and gives a schedule of how to implement them.

56. According to the Agreement, the administrative structure of Denmark will be changed as follows:

- the existing 13 county governments will be dissolved and replaced by five elected regional governments;
- the aim of restructuring the municipal governments is to establish larger municipalities having at least 20,000 inhabitants (nevertheless, in the Agreement cited above, it is stated that a population of minimum 30,000 inhabitants will be a good aim for establishment of new sustainable local governments);
- those municipalities whose population is less than 20,000:
- should merge with one or more local governments into municipalities with minimum 20,000 inhabitants;
- or, if they do not want to be amalgamated with other municipalities, must enter into binding partnerships (consortia) with one or more municipalities.

57. All these changes are adjusted to a renewed allocation of functions between the State, the

regional and the municipal level. The larger municipalities and the binding partnerships will expectedly be more powerful entities to perform their tasks enhancing the quality and efficiency of local services.

58. In the new structure, municipalities will be responsible for carrying out most of the welfare services. Their greater capacity makes it possible to confer more responsibilities on them.

11

Thus, in addition to the tasks and functions carried out by the municipalities at the moment, the new local governments will be capable of undertaking more responsibilities ranging from prevention, care and rehabilitation that do not take place during hospitalisation and social institutions for people in special need to physical planning.

59. It is a general expectation that those relatively small (with less than 20,000 inhabitants) municipalities which are not interested in being merged into a greater local government, cannot provide the same public services of the same quality as the bigger ones. Therefore, they must join a binding partnership with other local authorities which are adjacent to each other in the way that this co-operation should embrace at least 30,000 people to ensure the required sustainability of compulsory public services.

60. The main reason for dismissing the existing county governments and for establishing five new regions is to assign the primary responsibility for health care to a powerful and efficient level of regional government. The newly created regional councils are conceived to be able to run hospitals and the entire national health insurance service. Besides that, they should undertake other functions as well – that the individual municipality is unable to undertake appropriately – like the running of a number of institutions (mainly for groups with special needs). Furthermore, the regions will be responsible for preparation of regional development plans including a general vision for the development of the region within the areas of nature and environment, trade and industry, tourism, employment, education, and culture as well as development in rural districts, and for the establishment of transport companies.

61. The changing administrative structure and division of tasks and functions need a new financial system of local and regional councils. The financial sources of the municipal governments seem to be extended to some items which are currently county revenues, like the county income tax or land tax. The financial equalisation scheme and the method of the state reimbursements will also be changed, though their precise mechanisms have not been determined so far.

62. As for the financial means of the new regions, a difference will be made between the finance of health care services and of other tasks. The large part (approx. 75 per cent) of the health services will derive from block grant allocated by the central government. Another part of revenues will come also from the state in form of specific, activity-related form calculated annually, though its amount will be limited. Finally, the health care expenditure will be covered by the contributions of the municipal governments in the framework of a co-finance of certain services by the regions and municipalities.

63. Maybe the least elaborated part of the reform is the way and method of the enhancement of participatory democracy. The Agreement itself is not very clear in this aspect as it emphasizes the need for further investigation, and contains only very general terms encouraging the strengthening of local democracy through increased citizen participation or greater authority of consumer organisations and boards.

64. The Agreement sets out a schedule on the process for the establishment of the new division of local and regional governments. The new administrative structure will presumably come into effect in January 2007. Since the election period of members of the existing municipal

and county governments will expire on 31 December 2005, there will be a gap between the end of the mandate of the old councils and the establishment of the new municipalities involved in the merger, and of the regions. The proposed solution to bridge this gap is that although the

12
election for the new merged municipalities and for the newly established regions will be held in November 2005, the tenure for the present local and regional councils will be prolonged by statute by one year till the end of 2006. During the overlapping period of the operation of the old and the new councils, the task of the newly elected bodies in 2006 will be to work as integration (in the merged municipalities) or preparation committees (in the new regions) to manage the transition.

65. Noteworthy, during the preparation, the local authorities have been given the opportunity to express their opinion, and they may present their choice whether they want to be merged or prefer joining a binding association. Nonetheless, the opinion of local authorities will not be compulsory, and the final decision on the new administrative division will be made, on the proposal of the Government, by the law of Parliament.

66. During our visit, we met a lot of criticism of the planned reforms. Some of these critics refer to the principles and norms of the European Charter of Local Self-Government asserting that these requirements are not likely to be met after the implementation of the reforms. However, it should also be noted that, over time, a large consensus on the reform seems to have been achieved among local authorities.

67. Some people who were interviewed supposed that the regional changes – ie the replacement of the existing 13 counties by the 5 new regions – would result in a “massive transfer” of responsibilities from the mezo-level of local government to the central government, since the regional councils were intended only to be in charge of health care and certain regional development functions, whilst other tasks would be centralised. Thus, the responsibilities for the secondary education, the environment and the highways will be transferred to central authority. According to this view, this centralisation is not in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as it is entrenched in the Article 4, Section (3) of the Charter.

68. Moreover, it is to be noted that according to the Danish Government, the new regions will not fall within the scope of the European Charter on local self-government, therefore its requirements cannot be accounted for.

69. Another recurring criticism is that the new regions will not have the right to levy (regional taxes) necessary for ensuring their financial autonomy. The opposing views assume that the compulsory health contribution of the municipal governments, as is designed in the Agreement, will be paid from local tax revenues, thus, although in an indirect way, the new regions will have tax revenues.

70. The method of consultation with the local governments on the administrative reform has also been objected to asserting that in certain cases, the Minister of the Interior and Health failed to take into account the wishes of local authorities concerned.

71. An independent evaluation institute will be set up as from 1 January 2006. The objective of this institute is to systematically follow up on decentralised, public task performance. The evaluation institute will be established by statute under the Ministry of the Interior and Health.

13

III. Conclusions

72. In this section, we summarize the consequences of our findings with special regard to the compatibility of the Danish system of local and regional government with the European Charter of Local Self-Government, respecting also the view of Danish central authorities that it might not

cover the new regions, unless the Danish government decides otherwise. Our assessment is also partly based on the draft Charter of Regional Self-government which is likely to cover the new regions in the future.

73. Actually, the present situation is quite a curious one, because, as we referred to it above, the Charter cannot be invoked directly before a Danish court or other law enforcing authority, since it has not been incorporated into the Danish domestic law. Nevertheless, its principles and requirements are met in other way, because the existing Danish laws are in harmony with them.

74. Denmark has a long democratic tradition including far-reaching local autonomy and decentralisation. The Constitution recognises the role of local authorities in regulating and managing local public affairs freely and independently in accordance with the requirements of the Charter of Local Self-Government. Although the Constitution refers only to the municipal self-governments, the Local Government Act contains rules also for county governments. The effect of the Charter as Denmark has signed and ratified it extends to the counties as well.

75. The Charter of Local Self-Government defines local self-government as "the right and the ability of local authorities, within the limits of the law, to regulate and manage a substantial share of public affairs under their own responsibility and in the interests of the local population". Considering the present distribution of local government tasks and functions, it seems to be a well-established view that the subsidiarity principle of the Charter is followed by balancing it with the requirement of efficiency and economy. As we have seen above, a wide ranging scope of public responsibilities (social, welfare and communal services) is exercised at municipal level, that is close to the citizens, while the boundaries and capacities of the basic level local governments have been, and – see below – will be adjusted to the tenets of economic efficiency and effectiveness, and equal level of public services. The determination of functions of the county self-governments is guided by the regional interest, but they are also regarded as having general competence.

76. At the same time, while municipalities and counties comply with all the elements of the broad definition of local self-government laid down by the Charter, the status of the new regions as it is designed in the administrative reform raises some doubts. As we have seen above, the new regions really seem to be much less multifunctional regional governments than the existing counties are. Nevertheless, neither the Charter, nor the draft Charter on Regional Self-Government specifies which or what kind of functions should be assigned to the regional level of local governments. The crucial point in this aspect is the assessment of the role of the would-be regions. Whereas the present counties have unambiguously general competence at the regional level, the primary function of the new regional councils will be health care. The rest of their future responsibilities will only be secondary or supplementary tasks and duties. Most of them are not full and exclusive functions as is requested by the Article 4, Section (4) of the Charter.

77. For the protection of the boundaries of local governments, the Charter says that "[c]hanges in local authority boundaries shall not be made without prior consultation of the local communities concerned, possibly by means of a referendum where this is permitted by statute".

14

Although the consultation procedure was criticized by a few local councils and citizens groups, primarily because the Minister of the Interior and Health did not follow the wishes of the municipalities concerned when drawing up the new map of municipal governments, according to our assessment, although there is not an authoritative interpretation of the precise meaning of consultation, it does not include the central government accepting the opinions and wishes of those who are consulted.

78. Another debating point relates to the finance of the new regional councils, since the

regions will not have the right to levy taxes. It seems to be in contrast with the Article 9, Section (3) of the Charter which requires that the financial resources of local authorities must derive partly from local taxes prescribing that they must have the power at least to determine the rate of the tax within the limits of statute. The new regions will not have such revenues, which appears to eliminate one of the basic elements of the local self-government.

79. Noteworthy, as we were informed, the new regions' right to establish consortia with other regions or the municipal governments will be strongly restricted which seems to be in contrast with the Article 10, Section (1) of the Charter according to which "[l]ocal authorities shall be entitled, in exercising their powers, to co-operate and, within the framework of the law, to form consortia with other local authorities in order to carry out tasks of common interest."

80. As it was set out above, under the transition period of introducing the new administrative structure, the tenure of the members of the existing municipal and county councils will be prolonged by an extra year. Although it is apparently a technical solution to ensure the smooth transition, during our visits, it was argued by a few, that it is not a democratic measure and is incompatible with the Charter. The relevant provision of the Charter says that the right to local self-government must "be exercised by councils or assemblies composed of members freely elected by secret ballot on the basis of direct, equal, universal suffrage", whereas the explanatory report adds to it that "the rights of self-government must be exercised by democratically constituted authorities". In Denmark, the local and county councillors were elected for a fixed period (4 years), therefore after the expiry of their mandate, the standards of the Charter will not prevail. Nevertheless, the representatives of the new municipal and regional councils will be elected in due time, even if they begin their work only from 1 January, 2007. According to our assessment, the prolongation of the tenure of the present councillors, as an exceptional case, might be accepted regarding the extraordinary situation, its restricted time and the election of the new councils in due time.

81. Certainly, all the possible objections might be avoided if Denmark does not submit the new regional councils to the effect of the Charter, as it seems to. No doubt, the Article 13 of the Charter recognizes that any member state has the right to exclude certain categories of authorities from the scope of the Charter. Nevertheless, the Congress should encourage Denmark not to do so at a time when the Council of Europe specialised bodies are making efforts to gather and extend the "acquis" of the local governance in Europe, and to respect the principles and norms of the Charter as fundamentals of local and regional democracy. From this point of view, it would be the most desirable, if the new regional governments complied with all the standards of the Charter. However, it is also possible for a member state to make exemptions from a few provisions of the Charter, if it cannot or does not want to comply with them. In the latter case, the Danish government could consider to do so concerning the Article 4, Section (4), Article 9, Section (3), and Article 10, Section (1), in order to ensure that also the new regions be covered by the Charter.

15

APPENDICES

Appendix I

**Programme of the first visit of the Congress monitoring mission to Denmark
at 2- 3 September 2004**

Thursday, 2 September

9:00 Meeting with Mr. Lars Løkke RASMUSSEN, Minister for Interior and Health

Participants: Mr. Lars Løkke RASMUSSEN, Minister for Interior and Health

Mr. Thorkil JUUL, Deputy Permanent Undersecretary of the Ministry

Mr. Hans B. THOMSEN, Deputy Permanent Undersecretary
Mr. Jann LARSEN, Legal Counsellor, Ministry of Interior and Health

Mrs. Julie ROTHE, Head of Section, Ministry of Interior and Health

10.30 Meeting with the Chairman Mr. Poul NØDGAARD and members of the Parliamentary Committee on Local/Regional Government

Participants: Mr. Poul NØDGAARD, DF, Chairman of Parliamentary Committee

Mrs. Marianne PEDERSEN, V, Vice Chairman of the Committee

Mr. Ole STAVAD, S, Member of Parliamentary Committee

Mrs. Margrethe VESTAGER, RV, Member of Parliamentary Committee

Mrs. Line BARFOD, EL, Member of Parliamentary Committee

Mr. Jørgen NIELSEN, Secretary of the Parliamentary Committee

11:45 Meeting with Mr. Ejgil W. RASMUSSEN, President of Local Government Denmark

Participants: Mr. Ejgil W. RASMUSSEN, President of Local Government

Denmark / Mayor of Gedved Municipality,

Mr. Laust Grove VEJLSTRUP, Vice Chairman of LGDK's International Board / Councillor of Sydthy Municipality

Mr. Erling FRIIS POULSEN, Director, LGDK

Mrs. Vibeke VINTEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mr. Uwe LORENZEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mr. Hans Otto JØRGENSEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mrs. Helle SEHESTED, Head of Section, LGDK

13:00 Lunch at Local Government Denmark

Participants: Mr. Ejgil W. RASMUSSEN, President of Local Government Denmark,

Mr. Laust Grove VEJLSTRUP, Vice Chairman of LGDK's International Board

Mr. Erling FRIIS POULSEN, Director, LGDK

16

Mrs. Vibeke VINTEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mr. Uwe LORENZEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mr. Hans Otto JØRGENSEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mrs. Helle SEHESTED, Head of Section, LGDK

14:30 Meeting with Mrs. Bente FROST, Vice Chairman of the City Council of Copenhagen

Participants: Mrs. Bente FROST, Vice Chairman of the City Council

Mrs. Kajsa OEST, International Adviser, Municipality of Copenhagen

15:45 Meeting with Mr. Kristian EBBENSGAARD, President of Danish Regions and Mrs. Vibeke Storm RASMUSSEN, Member of the Board of Danish Regions

Participants: Mr. Kristian EBBENSGAARD, President of Danish Regions / Roskilde Regional Assembly

Mrs. Vibeke Storm RASMUSSEN, Member of the Board of Danish Regions / President of Copenhagen Regional Assembly

Mr. Ove NISSEN, Director, Danish Regions

Mrs. Inge HYLDEBRANDT, Assistant Director, Danish Regions

Mrs. Katrine HAUCHROG, Head of Section, Danish Regions

16:45 Meeting with the Danish delegation to the Congress

Participants: Mr. Kristian EBBENSGAARD, President of Danish Regions /

Roskilde Regional Assembly,

Mr. Bent HANSEN, President of Viborg Regional Assembly,

Mr. Orla HAV, President of North Jutland Regional Assembly,

Mr. Knud ANDERSEN, Member of the Assembly of the Region of

Bornholm,

Mr. Laust Grove VEJLSTRUP, Vice Chairman of LGDK's International

Board

Mr. Ove NISSEN, Director, Danish Regions

Mrs. Inge HYLDEBRANDT, Assistant Director, Danish Regions

Mrs. Katrine HAUCHROG, Head of Section, Danish Regions

Mr. Erling FRIIS POULSEN, Director, LGDK

Mr. Uwe LORENZEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mrs. Helle SEHESTED, Head of Section, LGDK

19:00 Dinner hosted by the Danish delegation to the Congress

Participants: Mr. Kristian EBBENSGAARD, President of Danish Regions /

Roskilde Regional Assembly,

Mr. Bent HANSEN, President of Viborg Regional Assembly

Mr. Knud ANDERSEN, Member of the Assembly of the Region of

Bornholm,

Mr. Laust Grove VEJLSTRUP, Vice Chairman of LGDK's International

Board

Mrs. Bente FROST, Vice Chairman of the City Council of Copenhagen

Mr. Ove NISSEN, Director, Danish Regions

Mrs. Inge HYLDEBRANDT, Assistant Director, Danish Regions

17

Mrs. Katrine HAUCHROG, Head of Section, Danish Regions

Mr. Erling FRIIS POULSEN, Director, LGDK

Mr. Uwe LORENZEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mrs. Helle SEHESTED, Head of Section, LGDK

Mrs. Kajsa OEST, International Advisor, Copenhagen Municipality

Mr. Jann LARSEN, Legal Counsellor, Ministry of Interior and Health

Friday, 3 September

11:00 Meeting with Mr. Uffe THORND AHL, Mayor of Hørsholm

Participants: Mr. Uffe THORND AHL, Mayor of Horsholm

Mr. Poul Erik SØRENSEN, Mayor of Suså Municipality

Mr. Axel BREDSORFF, Vice Mayor of Birkerød Municipality

(by any change)

Mr. Søren HEMMINGSEN, Secretary for Association of Municipalities
in Frederiksborg County

Mr. Jens Jakob JAKOBSEN, Director for Social and Health Affairs,
Horsholm Municipality

13:00 Lunch at Horsholm

Participants: Mr. Uffe THORND AHL, Mayor of Horsholm

(Other participants to be confirmed)

Appendix II**Programme of the second visit of the Congress monitoring mission to Denmark****29 - 31 March 2005****Programme****Monday, 28 March**

Afternoon Arrivals and checking in at **Hotel KONG FREDERIK**, Vester Voldgade 25,
1552 Copenhagen. Phone: +45 33125902

Tuesday, 29 March

10.00 - 13.00 **Meeting with Mr. Kristian EBBENSGAARD, President of Danish Regions**

Participants:

Mr Kristian EBBENSGAARD, President of Danish Regions

Mr Knud ANDERSEN, Regional councilor in Bornholm Regional Municipality,
and member of the Board of Danish Regions

Mr Ove NISSEN, Director, Danish Regions

Ms Inge HYLDEBRANDT, Assistant Director, Danish Regions

Ms Katrine HAUCHROG, Head of Section, Danish Regions

Venue: Danish Regions, Dampfærgevej 22, 2100 Copenhagen

Contact: Assistance Director Mrs Inge Hyldebrandt, phone: +45 35 29 81 69,

mail: ih@arf.dk

13.00 - 14.00 **Lunch at Danish Regions**

14:00 - 16.30 **Meeting with Mr Johannes FLENSTED-JENSEN, President of Aarhus**

Region*Participants:*

Mr Johannes FLENSTED-JENSEN, President of Aarhus Region, member of the
board of Danish Regions

Ms Gunhild HUSUM, Councillor of Aarhus Regions

Mr Bo JOHANSEN, Director, Aarhus Region

Mr Ove NISSEN, Director, Danish Regions

Ms Inge HYLDEBRANDT, Assistant Director, Danish Regions

Ms Katrine HAUCHROG, Head of Section, Danish Regions

Venue: Danish Regions, Dampfærgevej 22, 2100 Copenhagen, phone: 35298100

Contact: Assistance Director Mrs Inge Hyldebrandt, phone: +45 35 29 81 69,

mail: ih@arf.dk

19

19:00 **Dinner hosted by Danish Regions at Restaurant Søren K., Søren Kierkegaards**

Plads 1, 1221 København K, phone +45 33 47 49 49

Wednesday, 30 March

8:30 - 10.00 **Meeting with Mr Mads LEBECH, Mayor of The City of Frederiksberg,**

Chairman of Greater Copenhagen Authority and Head of the Danish

CLRAE-delegation*Participants:*

Mr Mads LEBECH, Mayor

Mr Kurt E. CHRISTOFFERSEN, Executive Director

Ms Sonja NIELSEN, Head of section

Mr Hans Otto JØRGENSEN, LGDK and member of the Council of Europe

Group of Independent Experts

Venue: Frederiksberg Kommune, Smallegade 1, 2000 Fredriksberg. Room:

Borgmesterkontoret, 1. floor, room 19.

Contact: Executive Director Mr Kurt E. Christoffersen

mail: kommunaldirektoeren@frederiksberg.dk

10.30 - 11.30 Meeting with Mr Laust Grove VEJLSTRUP, Vice-Chairman of LGDK's International Board and member of the Board of LGDK and Mr Niels LARSEN, Mayor of Nørre Alslev and Member of the Danish Delegation to CLRAE

Participants:

Mr Laust Grove VEJLSTRUP, Vice Chairman of LGDK's International Board

Mr Niels LARSEN, Mayor of Nørre Alslev and Member of the Danish Delegation to CLRAE

Mr Hans Otto JØRGENSEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Ms Vibeke VINTEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Mr Uwe LORENZEN, Head of Division, LGDK

Ms Helle SEHESTED, Head of Section, LGDK

Venue: Local Government Denmark (LGDK), Weidekampsgade 10, 2300

Copenhagen S. Room 5-02.

Contact: Head of Division Mr Uwe Lorenzen, phone: +45 33 70 35 50/

+ 45 29 74 13 01 (mobil), mail: uwe@kl.dk

11.30 - 12.00 Meeting with Mr. Hans Otto JØRGENSEN, Ms. Vibeke VINTEN, Danish experts, members of the Council of Europe Group of Independent Experts; and Mr. Ove Nissen, Director, Danish Regions

Participants:

Mr Hans Otto JØRGENSEN

20

Ms Vibeke VINTEN

Mr Ove NISSEN

Venue: Local Government Denmark (LGDK), Weidekampsgade 10, 2300

Copenhagen S. Room 5-02.

Contact: Head of Division Mr Uwe Lorenzen, phone: +45 33 70 35 50/

+ 45 29 74 13 01 (mobil), mail: uwe@kl.dk

12:00 - 13.00 Lunch at LGDK

Walk to Christiansborg Castle.

14.00 - 15.00 Meeting with Chairperson of the Parliamentary Committee on Local/Regional Government

Participants:

Mr Poul NØDGAARD, Chairman of the Committee

Ms Maiken Borch CLAUSEN, Secretary of the Committee

Venue: Christiansborg Castle, Rigsdagsgården

Contact: Secretary of the Committee Mrs Maiken Bork Clausen,

phone: +45 33 37 55 19, mail: uamabc@ft.dk

15.15 - 16.00 Meeting with Mr Lars Løkke RASMUSSEN, Minister of the Interior and Health

Participants:

Mr Lars Løkke RASMUSSEN, Minister

Mr Thorkil JUUL, Deputy Undersecretary

Mr Christian SCHØNAU, Head of Division

Ms Julie ROTHE, Head of Section

Mr Jann LARSEN, Legal Counsellor

Venue: Ministry of Interior and Health, Slotsholmsgade 10, 1216 Copenhagen K,

Contact: Legal Counsellor Mr Jann Larsen, phone: +45 33 92 60 52, mail:

jl@im.dk

Thursday, 31 March

10.00 - 11.00: Meeting with Mr John HEMMING, Mayor of Fredensborg-Humlebaek and members of the Municipal Council

Participants:

Mr John HEMMING, Mayor

Mr Thomas Lykke PEDERSEN, 1. Deputy Mayor

Mrs Tinne Borch JACOBSEN, 2. Deputy Mayor

Mr Flemming KISUM, Head of Secretariat

21

Venue: Rådhuset, Tinghusvej 6, 3480 Fredensborg.

Contact: Executive Director Mr Flemming Kisum, phone: +45 48 40 20 08,

fki@fhkom.dk

11.00 – 12.00 Meeting with Mrs Grethe TROENSEGAARD, Secretary of Fredensborg-Humlebaek Citizens' Committee (Borgerkomiteen) and other members of the committee

Participants:

Mr Carsten NIELSEN

Mr Henrik JÜRS

Ms Grethe TROENSEGAARD

Mr Poul JUUL

Mr Christian ELSRUD

Mr Peter TRAVIS

Venue: Radhuset, Tinghusvej 6, 3480 Fredensborg, meeting room no. 2

Contact: Mrs Grethe Troensegaard, phone: +45 49 19 42 78

mail: Grethe.Troensegaard@mail.tele.dk

13.30 – 14.30 Meeting with Mr Ove ALMINDE, Mayor of Birkerød Municipality, Mr Alex BREDSORFF, 1. Vice Mayor; Mr Jesper BACH, Mayor of Varlose

Participants:

Mr Ove ALMINDE, Mayor of Birkenod Municipality

Mr Axel BREDSORFF, Vice Mayor of Birkenod Municipality

Mr Jesper BACH, Mayor of Varlose

Mr Michael Schroder, CEO

Venue:

Radhuset, Stationsvej 36, 3460 Birkerød, room: borgmesterkontoret, phone: +45

45 99 06 00; mail: raadhus@birkerodkom.dk

Departure from Copenhagen

22

Appendix III

Written sources of information

Agreement on a Structural Reform, 2004. The Danish Ministry of the Interior and Health.

Municipalities and Counties in Denmark. Tasks and Finance. Indenrings- og undhedstministeriet,

August, 2002.

The Commission on Administrative Structure. Summary. Recommendation no. 1434. The Commission on Administrative Structure. January, 2004.

Regional Government in Denmark. Amtsrådsforeningen, 2001.

Local Government Act, No. 615 of July 18th 1995.

Local Government Elections Act, No. 263 of April 18th 2001.

Letter of 23 March, 2005 of the Ministry of the Interior and Health.

Memorandum on the enhancement of participatory democracy as part of the structural reform.

Memorandum about the government's agreement with the Danish People's Party on a structural reform in relation to the Council of Europe's Charter of Local Self-Government.

Supervision of municipalities and counties in Denmark.

Translation of correspondents between Danish Regions and the Minister of the Interior and Health concerning the European Convention of Local Self-Government and the Draft Convention of Regional Self-Government

Battrup, Gerd: *The Constitutional Act of Denmark, the Local Government Reform and the Regions*. Manuscript.